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## PREFACE

This investigation is a contribution, not to Latin studies, but to scientific procedure in the field of education. It aims to furnish a scientific basis for the determination of part of the curriculum material in high-school Latin. It is not fundamental or primary in the sense that some recent educational studies are, such as Charters, A Course of Study in Grammar Based upon the Grammatical Errors of School Children of Kansas City, Mo. ${ }^{\text {I }}$ Charters' study may be said to be fundamental, because it shows that there is a social need for the teaching and learning of English grammar, and proceeds by scientific methods to determine for what material an actual social need exists. Our study is of a secondary character, because we do not show that there is a social need for the study of Latin; we start with the assumption that Latin is extensively studied, and try to show how the activity can be carried on with greater economy of time and greater efficiency in results. We do not even show that Caesar, Cicero, and Vergil constitute the best reading, but make the additional assumption that these authors are very widely used; then we employ scientific procedure to establish a basis for the selection and arrangement of an important division of the curriculum material, viz., the topics of syntax. The methods employed would be equally applicable to any other readings.

The joint authors of the work recognize that it has serious limitations if viewed as a philological study; in fact, very few of the collaborators are professed students of Latin syntax; they are merely hard-working teachers of Latin bent on making Latin teaching more economical and effective. From the point of view of statistical method which aims to ascertain main tendencies and important divergences rather than minute differences there need be no question that the results have a sufficiently high reliability to serve the educational purposes for which they are intended.

[^0]In fact, a great deal of labor could have been saved by merely making a careful "sampling" of the texts and estimating the relative frequencies of constructions on this basis. It was felt, however, that the uprooting of conservatism, tradition, and indifference in syntax teaching could not be expected to result from any demonstration short of a complete enumeration. To be sure, some errors must have occurred in making 50,000 judgments. Moreover, some constructions are capable of more than one interpretation. But these facts do not appreciably modify the essentials of the situation as to saving and waste, arrangement and emphasis. The chief topics omitted from the count are principles of agreement (including appositional and predicate construction), uses of pronouns, meanings of tenses, and word order.

The book aims to do for syntax what Professor Lodge's Vocabulary of High School Latin ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ does for vocabulary, furnishing in conjunction with the latter work, it is hoped, a scientific basis for selection and arrangement of linguistic material in the highschool Latin curriculum.

All references are to the Teubner texts of the authors. Meusel's text of Caesar was originally employed, but the numbers were later changed to those of Teubner, as the text likely to be in the hands of most teachers.

We have used freelyHeynacher's Sprachgebrauch Caesars, and his Beiträge zur zeitgemässen Behandlung der lateinischen Grammatik; Holder's index to Caesar; Meusel's Lexicon Caesarianum; Merguet's Lexicon to Cicero's Orations; Lodge's Vocabulary of High School Latin, and his Helps for the Teaching of Caesar, including W. F. Little's Studies in the Syntax of Caesar's "Gallic War"; Walker's Sequence of Tenses in Latin; and various articles. Most useful has been Heynacher's Sprachgebrauch. Special thanks are due to Mr. W. L. Carr, of the University High School, Chicago, and Mr. H. F. Taylor, of the High School, New Rochelle, N.Y., who, being engaged upon collections of their own, have given us much help in several places. Mr. E. S. Armstrong, of Central High School has kindly read the proofs. Mr. H. F. Pratt of the commercial department has verified numerical computations.

[^1]The list of individual contributions by subjects is as follows:
Nominative and Vocative: B. G. i and ii, J. L. Phillips; iii and iv, W. L. Carr; Cat. i-iv, H. F. Taylor; Pomp. and Arch., H. Preble; Aen. i and ii, C. C. Cobb; iii and iv, Nettie Fillmore; v and vi, L. Byrne.

Genitive: B. G. i and ii, J. L. Phillips; iii and iv, W. L. Carr; Cat. i-iv, H. F. Taylor; Pomp. and Arch., H. Preble; Vergil, J. C. Hazzard.

Dative: B. G. i and ii, J. L. Phillips; iii and iv, W. L. Carr; Cat. i-iii and Aen. i-iii, W. L. Hartman; Cat. iv, H. F. Taylor; Pomp., H. Preble and A. E. Bartlett; Arch., Elizabeth M. Perkins and A. E. Bartlett; Aen. iv, Nettie Fillmore; v, J. Charles; vi, C. C. Cobb.

Accusative: B. G. i and ii, J. L. Phillips; iii and iv, W. L. Carr; Cat. i-iii and Aen. i-iii, W. L. Hartman; Cat. iv, Pomp., and Arch., and Aen. iv-vi, A: Muntsch.

Ablative and Locative: B. G. i and ii, J. L. Phillips; iii and iv, W. L. Carr; Cat. i-iv, H. F. Taylor; Pomp., Arch., and Aen. v, vi. H. Preble; Aen. i-iv, L. Byrne.

Tenses: Caesar, A. T. Walker; Cicero, Martha Whitney and A. T. Walker; Vergil, E. W. Murray and A. T. Walker.

Independent Clauses: Caesar, W. L. Carr and L. Byrne; Cat. i and Arch., Kate Healy; Cat. ii-iv, Cornelia Raymond; Pomp. and Arch., Augusta J. Boone; Aen. i-iv, Elizabeth M. Perkins; v, J. A. Peters; vi, Mabel C. Hawes.

Substantive Clauses: Caesar, W. L. Carr and L. Byrne; Cat. i, Pomp., and Aen. i-v, A. E. Bartlett; Cat. ii-iv and Arch., Mary L. Miner; Aen. vi, Elizabeth M. Perkins.

Relative Clauses: Caesar, W. L. Carr and L. Byrne; Cicero, J. F. Hall; Aen. i, ii, iv, v, Mary R. Fitzpatrick; ii, v, vi, W. F. Dales; iii, E. H. Atherton; iv, W. W. King.

Adverbial Clauses-Miscellaneous: B. G. i, iii, iv, W. L. Carr and L. Byrne; ii and Pomp., G. A. Whipple; Cat. i, ii, iv, and Arch., A. B. Babbitt; Cat. iii, Virginia Alexander; Vergil, Elizabeth M. Perkins.

Purpose and Result: B. G. i, Letta Brock; ii-iv, W. L. Carr and L. Byrne; Cat. i, ii, iv, Pomp., and Arch., Emma K. Clark; Cat. iii,

Mildred Dean; Aen. i, Florence Backus; i-v, A. Z. Hartman; vi, H. D. Cannon.

Cum-Clauses: Caesar, W. L. Carr and L. Byrne; Cat. i-iv, C. C. Cobb; Pomp. and Arch., Julia E. Winslow; Vergil, J. Charles.

Time and Proviso: Caesar, W. L. Carr and L. Byrne; Cat. i, iii, iv, Pomp., and Arch., Louise M. Breitenbach; Cat. ii, E. L. Findley; Vergil, Alice M. Donnelly.

Cause and Concession: B. G. i, iii, iv, W. L. Carr and L. Byrne: ii, Grace M. Warner; Cat. i, ii, iv, A. B. Babbitt; iii, Zina D. Snyder; Pomp., Arch., and Aen. ii, iv, E. W. Given; Aen. i, iii, R. H. Tanner; v, vi, Olive B. Catlin.

Conditions and Comparison: Caesar, W. L. Carr and L. Byrne; Cat. i, iii, iv, Pomp., Arch., and Aen. ii, Lizzie C. Smith; Cat. ii, F. O. Bates; Aen. i, iii, iv, vi, Nettie Fillmore; v, A. S. Rainey.

Infinitives, Participles, Gerund, and Supine: L. Byrne.

## NOTE ON REVISED EDITION

This revision has given an opportunity to bring the terminology into closer harmony with that of the Report of the Joint Committee on Grammatical Nomenclature. Advantage has been taken of the published criticisms of the first edition to make a number of corrections and improvements; I refer particularly to Professor Hale's review in the April, í1o, School Review. Professor Hale has also been kind enough to make a number of other suggestions personally, but he is in no way responsible for the defects in plan or execution which still appear. The body of statistics remains substantially as in the first edition; the "text" of interpretative comment has been entirely rewritten, and one new table and three illustrative diagrams have been introduced. Thanks are due Professor F. N. Freeman for criticizing the analysis of the reading process.
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## INTRODUCTION

## WHY AN INVESTIGATION OF THIS KIND IS NEEDED

The teaching of Latin syntax in high schools has ordinarily been uncritical and dependent on unquestioned acceptance of tradition. This is true as regards the amount of syntax taught, the common idea being that a very large portion of the material which has been organized into recognized categories in books on grammar must be assimilated by pupils of high-school age; that Latin study cannot reach a successful issue unless this is done. A good illustration of this attitude is found in the case of many teachers who, after once being induced to employ one of the briefer grammars for high-school work, later insist on a return to one of the larger books and the rejection of a short grammar on the ground that it contains insufficient material to meet the needs of highschool teaching.

Uncritical procedure is shown also in particular selection of topics. Not only are very rare subjects treated at length, but in some cases subjects which occur nowhere at all in high-school reading. And the situation is similar as regards unintelligent arrangement of material. Topics which an investigation would show ought not to be taught earlier than the third year, so that they might be taken up at the time when there is an actual need of them, are, in fact, included in the work of the second year or the first year, and for no known or ascertainable reason.

Such unscientific hit or miss might be explained in the case of highschool teaching by attributing it to the low grade of ability and the insufficient training of a part of the teaching population. But until recently even graver defects seem to have been present in the work of the more expert few who prepare our college-entrance examination papers.

Commenting on these, Professor Hale points out numerous instances in which the examinations call for words and constructions that are rare or even unknown in the high-school reading. He sums up by saying: "We have found them to be, as a whole, not very carefully planned . . . . they call for words that are not common, and constructions that are not common, and they leave many common constructions uncalled for. ${ }^{1}$

[^2]However, the more recent college-entrance examination papers are not open to these objections; in the questions of 1911 to 1915 the subject-matter appears to be in conformity with the suggestions of this book, except that knowledge of the different forms of conditional sentences is assumed in the second year. ${ }^{\text { }}$

Now the chief criticism of Latin and Greek studies is not that they are without value; it would be a rash critic who would deny value to Latin or in fact to any subject in the curriculum. The main charge is that they are too costly, and more specifically that the process of teaching them is inefficient and wasteful. Viscount Bryce says that "languages in general have too often been badly taught. . . . . The results have accordingly been disappointing and out of proportion to the time and labor spent." ${ }^{2}$

Keller in his paper on "The Case of Greek" says, "The comparative cost is exorbitant and well-nigh prohibitive. The vital question is: Can it be lowered ? . . . . That which is most in the eyes of adverse opinion . . . . is . . . . the unnecessary and unessential." ${ }_{3}$ And President Butler, while advocating classical studies, alludes in his Function of the Secondary School to "bad and wasteful methods of classical teaching, much of it done under the guise of thoroughness."

Scientific examination and evaluation of the materials of instruction readily show us how we can eliminate waste, reduce exorbitant cost, and promote efficiency. Professor Lodge's Vocabulary reveals that 42 per cent of the words are used $93{ }_{1}^{5} \sigma$ per cent of the time, so that by concentrating on these words we may presumably accomplish $93 \frac{5}{10}$ per cent of certain results with 42 per cent of the labor and time that would be expended in ordinary undirected effort. It is with a view to the possibility of similar savings in the field of grammar study that this book has been prepared.

## WHY SYNTAX IS STUDIED

Before proceeding to the examination of the syntax material it is desirable to set forth briefly the reasons why syntax should be studied at all-the real aims in studying it. And as a preliminary to this we naturally come upon the inquiry as to what are the aims of Latin study

[^3]itself, both the study considered generally and the study on the highschool plane.

I doubt that we are prepared to accept any stereotyped and uniform statement of the aims of classical studies, but some defining of aims we must have if we are to arrive anywhere. In a subject in which broad and spiritual values are among the ultimate motives it may be best to enumerate a number of aims and to try to organize these coherently rather than to limit our recognized purpose to a narrow pathway, as might be done in the case of a vocational subject. Somewhere in the organized plurality of aims each one may find those which seem largest to him. Hence I venture the following as a rather wide, inclusive list of the aims of Latin study in general; the supposition is that high-school Latin study shares in some or all of these aims either immediately or: indirectly:
r. To come into intimate touch with the life and civilization of what we call the ancient world, more strictly the Graeco-Roman world. This may be conceived as worth while from two points of view:
a) For purposes of comparison the Graeco-Roman world represents that civilization immediately preceding our own, and although we know of others, e.g., in Egypt, in the Tigris-Euphrates Valley, in the Aegean area, in India, and in China, it is the only other one indigenous to the West, known to us in plentiful detail, and capable of first-hand study by any but specialists. Its remains are literally strewn at our feet, and the educated of all ages have known something of it, even though they gave it no deliberate study.
b) As a basis for understanding the genesis of our present civilization we naturally go back to that empire which, fallen and dismembered, has been the substratum from which the modern nations have arisen.
2. First-hand introduction to the literature of this ancient world:
a) For its intrinsic value. This would apply in higher degree to Greek, perhaps, but no one denies that the Latin product is one of the great literatures. It may be noted in passing that one who studies Latin literature alone intercepts much that is Greek, for Greece is the main source of Latin culture on the aesthetic side.
b) For its relation to modern literatures. Anyone desiring more than a superficial acquaintance with one or more modern literatures is under the necessity of giving some attention to the Greek and Latin literatures which have so profoundly influenced them.
3. To contribute to one's knowledge of other languages:
a) Especially the mother-tongue itself. Since over half the English vocabulary is Latin it is evident that proper study of the Latin element is capable of greatly enriching one's appreciation of his native speech.
b) Also as a contribution to the understanding of the Romance languages.
c) As an influence on language sense and grasp of language principles in general. This value can be realized in connection with the study of any foreign language, but perhaps best in that of a language which is highly inflected. In this respect Greek and Sanskrit would be superior.

Some will feel lonesome without adding a fourth aim-"Disciplinary values." Personally I should not do so. The fact that these have been so frequently stressed has unfortunately served to obscure or conceal the cultural value of the study and its largest and most vital significance. If any subject has worth as a discipline, so much the better; but no one can justify the inclusion of a study in the curriculum on disciplinary grounds alone, because it would obviously be more profitable to teach a valuable subject in such a way as to make it good discipline than to teach an otherwise valueless one for the sake of the resulting discipline. Hence the values inherent in the content of a study are ordinarily the ones which should be stressed and on the basis of which a subject should be selected or rejected.

I shall not attempt to demonstrate how far the aims mentioned really apply to the high-school Latin situation. It would take more space and exact knowledge than I have available. It will serve the purpose at hand if I proceed to the question as to what is the central and unifying aim which may serve as the means of correlating and organizing the different classes of aims enumerated. I think few will seriously dispute that this central aim is to be found in learning to read and in reading the Latin itself; I mean this in a broad way to include the process which is, in a strict sense, reading, that is, reading the Latin as Latin, just as one reads an English book as English, and also to include the process which some say is all that we can ever attain, the turning of the Latin into English through translation. Both are methods of deriving meaning from original Latin texts, and I need not here stop to debate their relative merits. Whether either one or both of these methods are employed, the learning to read and the reading act constitute the fundamental and central process; to perform this act successfully is our central aim, with which we connect our other aims, according to our present conception of Latin study. We use original text rather than ready-made translation,
because we thereby get a more intimate contact with the ancient world, with ancient literature, with the language itself, with our own language. It is because reading is the central aim that we have been willing to pay the undeniably high price of its acquisition. That it is the central aim needs no further argument than to point out that if reading with its corollaries and consequences is not the chief aim we are making a colossal blunder in spending so much time, energy, and money in its pursuit.

The place of syntax in the educational plan is simply and wholly subsidiary to that of reading. Absence of clearly defined aim has often resulted in allowing syntax to usurp the chief place, with Latin literature treated merely as a means for building up and illustrating a complete system of grammar. Intelligent criticism has repeatedly pointed out the error, the deficient sense of proportion. President Butler in the essay already quoted asserts that "wearisome grammatical drill and tedious reiteration of details relatively of little value, save in so far as these are absolutely necessary to enable the pupil to read intelligently, are out of place in secondary education." Viscount Bryce says that "the despotism of a purely grammatical study of the ancient languages and authors needed to be overthrown." ${ }^{\prime}$ Syntax is studied because the reading process, from its nature, depends upon, and involves the use of, vocabulary and syntax.

We may next consider briefly the relation of reading ability to the knowledge and use of syntax. To do this we need to observe how Latin reading is done.-

## THE NATURE OF THE READING PROCESS

At the outset we should recognize that reading is not a single fixed type of process. Even when we exclude faulty or incorrect methods and restrict it to legitimate forms of procedure we find that there is some variety. Reading may occur at different levels as regards the amount of previously acquired knowledge of words and grammar available for use. Further there are different stages of reading power in the matter of speed and facility, and the process as carried on at one speed is not identical with the process as carried on at another. Moreover, the translating act is not the same as reading proper, though they have important elements in common.

I shall first try to describe the reading of a foreign language, such as Latin, at its lowest, or at least at a very low, level. This description and

[^4]those which follow are not based on specific experiment. They recount what, in a general way, careful examination, in the absence of controlled experiment, would lead us to believe occurs. At a low level we may imagine that a student knows none of the foreign words and none of the grammar. He takes up a text and proceeds to read. Some very important things he does know: ${ }^{\text {I }}$ he knows that he is dealing with written language, with an inflected language in which the inflections as well as the word roots have meanings. He knows what language it is and the sources to which he can go to secure any information he needs about the words or their forms. If it is Latin he knows the general significance of all the letter symbols. Then how does he proceed with his reading? He takes the words just as they come, one after the other. Each word he looks up in a vocabulary or dictionary to ascertain its possible word meanings; for each word he consults his grammar to find out the possible meanings of the form of the word, that is, the syntactical meanings. He does not decide between the different possibilities, but holds judgment in abeyance until further evidence is found in the words that follow. As some critical word is reached he is able to end his suspense, complete his judgment, and decide definitely on the specific word and form meanings to be assigned to this word and to all the words preceding. Such a critical word may be the last word in a sentence, or at least the last in a clause or phrase; there is and should be doubt as to precise meanings until the critical word is reached; many words become critical for the determination of the meanings of individual forms preceding, even if they do not resolve the suspense regarding whole clauses. Of course, as has been noted, the successive pieces of evidence have both a backwardand a forward-looking influence. A word may be critical in helping to decide between possible antecedent meanings held in suspense to this point, and it may be critical in deciding between possible subsequent meanings when their symbols are later reached. Only the first word is limited to a forward reference, or perhaps we should say "forward control," and only the final word to one that is backward. When such a critical final word is reached, it marks the close of a grammatical or syntactical unit, a unit of speech, a sentence, or at least a "sub-sentence,"

[^5]if we may use the expression. A word with forward reference only, or rather one which has no backward reference (or control), similarly marks the beginning of a speech unit or sentence.

As we"shall see presently, the steps in "high-level" reading, provided it is slow and deliberate, would be the same as those described here for the low level, except that the student would know all the possibilities of word meaning and syntax meaning in advance. Instead of consulting dictionary and grammar he would merely resort to the association centers of his brain.

Let us illustrate by taking a concrete passage. In the pro $M$. Marcello we read:

Quare omnes te, qui haec salva esse volumus, et hortamur et obsecramur, ut vitae tuae et saluti consulas, omnesque tibi (ut pro aliis etiam loquar, quod de me ipse sentio), quoniam subesse aliquid putas, quod cavendum sit, non modo excubias et custodias, sed etiam laterum nostrorum oppositus et corporum pollicemur.

It is evident that one who reads the words in the order in which they come ${ }^{\mathrm{r}}$ will hold the possible meanings of omnes in suspense until he reaches volumus before making his decision, and similarly will not decide between the meanings of te until he reaches hortamur; qui will not be decided until reaching volumus, haec until esse, the first et until hortamur; vitae is partially decided by tuae, more fully by saluti, and completely when consulas is reached; the second omnes is not fully decided until pollicemur; tibi is not decided until pollicemur, ut until loquar, quod until sentio, ipse until sentio, subesse until putas, quod until sit, excubias and custodias until pollicemur, laterum until oppositus, oppositus until pollicemur. Backward references or controls are salvo decided by haec, volumus by qui, the second et by the first et and hortamur, tuae by vitae, aliis by pro, loquar by $u t$, me by de, aliquid by subesse, putas by quoniam, quod by aliquid, cavendum sit by quod, nostrorum by laterum, corporum by oppositus.

Returning to our analysis of reading on the low level, we may distinguish four steps occurring on meeting any typical (i.e., medial) word:
A. Looking up
I. The possible word meanings
2. The possible form meanings (syntax)
${ }^{x}$ This is the only legitimate reading, inasmuch as it is the author's right, as an artist, to decide the order in which his symbols will be presented and his meanings revealed, and to arrange such effects of grammatical and rhetorical suspense as he desires. See Hale, The Art of Reading Latin.
C. Selecting the particular meanings that seem feasible by considering the total import of the passage up to this point
D. Reconsidering or deciding on doubtful meanings of earlier words and forms now made clear by the evidence presented by this word.
E. Holding the final choice of meanings still in suspense until a later word or words furnish the decisive evidence
In the case of an initial word step $D$ does not occur, and we have only $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{C}$, and E ; C is present because the context meaning carried over from earlier sentences helps to eliminate some of the formal possibilities. In the case of a final word step E is absent, leaving $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{C}$, and D . The steps are not necessarily chronological as here arranged; apparently either D or C may precede the other, or the two may be an interrelated complex with several reciprocal movements.

We may now consider the reading process on a high level, in which the student has no need of dictionary or grammar, but as a result of his previous experience already knows all the possibilities of word and form meaning. We shall assume, however, at this point in the description that he reads slowly and deliberately, one word at a time; later we shall note differences involved in rapid reading. In the case suggested step A disappears. Instead we have substituted:
B. I. The previous learning or memorizing of
a) Word roots in association with their meanings
b) Word forms in association with their meanings (syntax)
2. The recognition of
a) Word roots
b) Word forms
3. The recall of
a) Associated root meanings
b) Associated form meanings (syntax)

The other steps, C, D, and E, are the same as in the case of reading on the lower level.

Apparently neither A nor B is an absolutely essential element of the reading process; either may be absent provided one of the two is present. $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$, and E are essential in all reading so far as we have examined it. Reading which employs words and forms looked up in reference books is entitled to be called reading, as well as that which employs words and forms previously memorized. There is a difference in degree, in facility, but both are reading.

The actual reading of most students is neither on the lower level nor on the high level as we have described them, but on some intermediate
level between the two. The essential elements $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$, and E remain constant, while the A and B elements alternate; at times the student is employing what he has previously learned of the word and form meetings; at times his previously acquired knowledge proves inadequate, and he goes to his reference books for additional information.

But does a student actually exhaust all the possibilities in the way of meanings and partial meanings, and thus finally arrive at the accepted solution? As a matter of fact he does not usually do so. The description rather represents the list of things he can do and some of which he must do-all of which he may do in case of need. The number of separate inferences made will vary with the difficulty of the material. It is when the real meaning is obscure and difficult to reach that all possible hypotheses are tried out; in easy passages the first surmise may hold good to the end.

It is important to note also that the student need not and does not dig out of a reference book all the facts of meaning which he needs and with which his previously acquired knowledge fails to supply him. The general context enables him to infer many meanings for which he has no immediate evidence; the same is true, of course, in reading an English book. The step taken here is not essentially different from the C and D described above; it is still a selection of meanings on the basis of available evidence, in this case less complete, but still sufficient to serve as a clew.

So far we have discussed reading on different levels as regards the previously acquired knowledge employed, assuming in each case that we have to do with simple one-word-at-a-time reading. We may next consider different stages as regards facility or speed. As a matter of analysis the simplest case is that in which the student dwells on each word separately. But with increasing knowledge and practice, speed increases, and some qualitative changes seem to occur in addition to the mere increase in amount of ground covered in a given time. Experiments with English reading, as is well known, have shown that the rapid reader does not dwell on the separate words, so far as his vision is concerned. It is well to remember that no matter how fast he is he still reads his text in the order in which the author wrote it. He does not jump from the beginning of the sentence to the end, and then back to the middle, as in a former day in Latin classrooms. But the skilled reader of English moves his eyes in a series of forward jumps, and in each pause between jumps his eyes dwell on a range of several words at one time; the number of words seen simultaneously is, however, not very large; even in the case of an
unusually rapid reader of English it does not appear to be more than five or six words. The duration of such an eye pause is not far from a halfsecond, or in very rapid reading may approach one-fourth of a second. In the group of words seen at one time the eye does not ordinarily move back and forth considering first one word and then another; if anything of this sort occurs it is an interruption of the normal course of the reading. The eye remains stationary during the brief pause, and sees no words during the rapid movement. The absence of back-and-forth eye movement during a pause would not preclude the possibility of separate fixations of attention on different details within the word group. And in difficult passages the ordinary continuous process is interrupted, and the eye jumps back to an earlier point and reads the same groups again, sometimes several times, until apprehension is attained. As the student develops speed in Latin reading we have no reason to doubt that the physiology of the eye movements becomes similar to that found in English reading, and that words come to be seen in groups rather than singly. In the nature of the case the number of words actually seen would tend to be about the same, but if the rate of reading is slower in Latin than in English the duration of each pause tends to be greater, allowing a longer time for the mind to assimilate the new impressions and realize their significance. Seeing whole groups of words at a time makes it possible to short-circuit the process by omitting many inferences that would follow from the evidence presented by the individual words of the group perceived one at a time. It is the evidence of the complete group that is utilized, though it is true that this may require some analysis and dwelling on separate details. In the case of a novice a long pause is coincident with a short span; a beginner's groups consist usually of a single word; as there are more difficult passages in Latin than in English, and in fact the entire text may be difficult, there is a more frequent going back and starting over, visually as well as mentally, as anyone may verify from his own reading.

To sum up, we may think of reading as proceeding on the basis of a partial previous knowledge of the words and syntax involved. On the one hand we should not ordinarily expect to find a complete preliminary acquaintance or a complete absence of such knowledge. The reader does not jump about from one part of the sentence to another at random, though he does, when in difficulty, jump backward and take a fresh start. This backward jump may be purely mental in the case of a skilled reader, but one less skilled moves his eyes back as well. A slow reader fixes both vision and attention on one word at a time; for a more skilled
and rapid reader the visual unit of progress is a small group of words rather than a single word. The first large step in the procedure is either the recognition of form and recall of associated meanings, or else, as a substitute, the search for similar information in reference books. The essential steps in the process are the forming of hypotheses as to the details of meaning, suspense during the acquiring of added evidence, and the final judgment as to the combined and detailed meaning. Not all the possible hypotheses are formed except in passages of unusual difficulty. As one develops skill through practice he comes to have more or less of what the mathematicians call intuition in the situations presented by the language; he acquires an adeptness in getting on the right track with a minimum number of hypotheses, a minimum of lost motion. Probably the practiced reader seldom actually carries along in suspense a series of parallel interpretations awaiting the critical word; rather he always seizes on a preferred meaning, tentatively entertained, and as fast as new evidence appears he makes rejections of the untenable and substitutions of corrected meanings so rapidly as to be almost if not quite unaware of these swift mental gymnastics. The hypotheses are in some cases based on the concrete evidence of known forms and words, in some cases on the general drift of the context, which readily leaps gaps in knowledge if these be not too wide. The power to infer successfully from incomplete evidence is a desirable one to cultivate, and its practice should not be discouraged.

I cannot take space to describe fully the translation process as distinguished from the reading process. The two are not the same, and skill in one is not necessarily accompanied by skill in the other; in fact one tends to inhibit the other. What they have in common, however, greatly exceeds their divergence. In my opinion translation should only follow reading. The meaning of a sentence and its details should have been grasped before making an attempt to set forth the ideas in English. It is an unsound procedure to begin by translating portions of the sentence, and to arrive at the author's thought only by piecing together the fragments of English. It is unsound because such a process does not involve reading the Latin at all, it merely involves reading some crude English phrases; instead of proceeding to an English version by this method it would be preferable to go at once to the Loeb or similar translations and secure a superior rendering. If we assume that the reading process is to precede translation, the latter appears merely as a supplementary step; for our purposes it is unnecessary to try to extend analysis beyond the completion of the reading act.

Naturally we have confined the discussion of reading to the mechanics of the process. When reading skill is developed and is carried on without conscious difficulty it is possible for the attention to be largely disengaged from the reading act, and for the mind to be concerned with the larger implications of the author's thought, with literary appreciation, and with historical speculation. But all this is obviously dependent on the ability to read.

The analysis of the reading process has revealed, I hope, what part a knowledge of syntax plays in reading, and what the real purpose in studying syntax is. We find that knowledge of vocabulary and knowledge of syntax are employed in essentially the same way, although the types of meaning conveyed by root and inflection are usually different. If reading is to occur there must be some acquaintance with syntax, either acquaintance formed on the spot or acquaintance previously gained. We have seen that it is not necessary to have a complete knowledge of words and constructions before beginning to read. We do not have this in the mother-tongue, and yet we manage to read successfully and extensively.

## WHAT SYNTAX SHOULD BE STUDIED

An important educational question is, How much syntax and what syntax should be studied with a view to promoting reading power ? If we make a full study of all the grammatical facts it will completely fill the four years of high-school Latin and more, and will leave no room for reading at all. It is then a nice question of judgment to decide the proportion of time which shall be given to studying syntax as preparation for reading, and the proportion which shall be devoted to the reading itself; a supplementary judgment is needed to decide what syntax topics shall be taken up in the time allotted. This book does not undertake to furnish final answers to these questions, but it does aim to give an exposition of the facts of frequency in syntax usage so that any Latin department can formulate its own answer on a basis of scientific prodecure rather than of random guesswork or mere chance.

## THE STATISTICS OF SYNTAX IN HIGH-SCHOOL LATIN

If we wish to get the facts which will enable us to judge intelligently as to the amount of syntax to be studied, the topics to be included, and their arrangement, we must investigate by statistical methods the relative and absolute frequency of occurrence of the different constructions. Table I presents the results of such an investigation.
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TABLE I-Continued


## EXPLANATION OF CATEGORIES EMPLOYED; FURTHER NOTES ON USAGE

Genitive. Subjective is included with possessive. Appositional is included with Material; if listed separately it would probably fall in the class of rare constructions recommended for omission. Descriptive includes Measure. Predicate genitives fall under other categories. Genitives with verbs are grouped as follows: those with verbs of remembering and forgetting; with admoneo, commoneo, commonefacio; with verbs of accusing, convicting, condemning, and acquitting; with miseret, paenitet, piget, pudet, taedet; with interest and refert; with verbs of plenty and want; with potior.

Dative. Reference includes Ethical and Separation. Ethical if listed separately would fall into the category of rare constructions. Double dative is listed under Purpose. With Direction are listed other poetical constructions, as with verbs of contention.

Accusative. Almost the only adverbial accusatives in Caesar are multum and nihil, so that it might be well to postpone the construction to the third year. Two accusatives here include three groups: those with verbs of making, choosing, calling, regarding, showing; those with verbs of asking, demanding, teaching, and concealing; those with compound verbs. Limit without a preposition occurs only eleven times in Caesar. The figures for accusative with preposition do not include the numerous accusatives of limit.

Ablative. Separation and Place Whence are grouped together. Material is listed with Source. Way by Which is included under Means; Ablatives with opus est, fretus, and nitor are counted with Means, but their occurrences are rare in high-school prose: opus est, Caesar 1, Cicero o; fretus, Caesar r, Cicero 3; nitor, Caesar 2, Cicero o. Means does not include ablatives with utor, fruor, etc. Attendant Circumstances is put with Manner; Accordance with Respect. Ablative with preposition does not include those listed under the various other heads.

Tenses. Figures for indicative sequence are given for comparison. The totals given for indicative sequence and for regular subjunctive sequence in Caesar are those of seven books.

In estimating the number of exceptions to the rule of sequence, it would be possible to swell the totals far beyond those given, especially by counting the instances of repraesentatio, as Heynacher has done in his Sprachgebrauch Caesars im "Bellum Gallicum"; it is necessary, therefore, to state the principles that have been observed in the work on tenses.

1. The instances of repraesentatio (A.-G. $585, b$, note; B. 318, though the name is not used) have not been collected unless the repraesentatio has preserved a subjunctive exception of the direct form. The same desire for vividness which leads Caesar to use the historical present leads him also to retain in many passages the present or perfect which was used by the speaker, instead of the more sober imperfect or pluperfect which the rule of sequence demands. Repraesentatio is no more exceptional than the historical present. Yet when
the same phenomenon occurs in indirect questions, the instances have been counted as exceptions, because the grammars do not recognize the fact that repraesentatio may occur in indirect questions.
2. No account has been taken of the varying sequence after historical presents. The primary sequence is the more common, but neither is an exception. Possibly arceret, Aen. i. 300, should be counted as an exception, because the historical present, demittit, has already been followed by a present, pateant.
3. The most difficult cases to decide are those in which the main verb is a perfect which we feel as a present perfect. The Romans, having but one form for the present perfect and the historical perfect, did not discriminate sharply between the two meanings which are so distinct to us. It is a recognized fact that the perfect is usually followed by the secondary sequence, even when we translate by "have"; though, of course, it may be followed by the primary; A.-G. $485, a ;$ B. 268, I. In collecting these examples neither the primary nor the secondary sequence has been considered an exception after such a perfect, but for one striking instance, see $B . G$. iv. i, io.

Tenses in Result Clauses: Allen and Greenough, $485, c$, reads, "In clauses of result, the perfect subjunctive is regularly (the present rarely) used after secondary tenses." Presumably the revisers intended to say only that the perfect subjunctive in result clauses after secondary tenses is more common than any other exception to sequence; though it is difficult to understand why they changed "very often," of the former edition, to "regularly." The truth of their present statement may be tested by the following statistics: In the seven books of the Gallic War, we have found but 9 perfects in clauses of result following secondary tenses; while Heynacher, though apparently counting the occurrences of the construction rather than the individual verbs, finds 112 instances of the imperfect following secondary tenses. In the entire body of Cicero's orations, Mrs. Nellie King Cureton, a student in the University of Kansas, found but 6I perfects in clauses of result iollowing secondary tenses, as against 403 imperfects. The details of irregular result sequence in the limited texts are: perfect indicative followed by perfect subjunctive, Caesar 1 , Cicero 4; perfect infinitive (indirect discourse) followed by perfect subjunctive, Caesar 2; perfect indicative and present subjunctive, Cicero 5, miscellaneous, Caesar i, Cicero i.

Independent Clauses. Hortatory, Jussive, etc., are listed as Volitive.
Deliberative, following common practice, is used rather freely for subjunctives in questions in independent clauses; many are strictly subjunctives of a different type, but distinctions are difficult to make in the interrogative forms.

Substantive Clauses. Ne and quominus are grouped with quin. But quin equivalent to qui non is also found among relative clauses.

Relative Clauses. Here are placed only clauses used adjectively. Socalled clauses of Characteristic are designated as Descriptive.

Adverbial Clauses. The miscellaneous indicative clauses include those with relative adverbs.

Purpose: The conjunctions employed in purpose clauses are as follows,
the numbers signifying times used by Caesar, Cicero, and Vergil respectively:


Time: The moods and tenses found with cum are as follows: indicative present, $3,7,24$; imperfect, $\mathbf{~ I , ~ 1 1 , ~ 2 ; ~ f u t u r e , ~} 0,5,4$; perfect, 3, 15, 21; pluperfect, 4, 2, 2; future perfect, o, o, 3; subjunctive present, o, $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{o}$; imperfect, 73, 25, $\mathbf{1 2}$; perfect, o, r, o; pluperfect, 53, 25, o.

Antequam and priusquam are listed together. The moods and tenses found with each are as follows: antequam, indicative present, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{r}$; future perfect, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{r}$; subjunctive present, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, 2$; priusquam, indicative perfect, $1,0,2$; subjunctive present, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{r}$; imperfect, $7, \mathrm{\circ}, \mathrm{o}$; perfect. $\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}$; pluperfect, $2, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{r}$.

Dum, donec, quoad, and quam diu are listed together. The moods and tenses with each are as follows: dum, indicative present, $7,2,16$; imperfect, $0,1,5$; future, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{r}$; perfect, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, 2$; future perfect, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{r}$; subjunctive present, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{r}$; imperfect, $4, \mathrm{o}, 2$; perfect, $\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}$; donec, indicative perfect, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, 6$; future, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{r}$; future perfect, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{r}$; no verb expressed, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{r}$; quoad, indicative present, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{o}$;
 cative future, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{o}$; perfect, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{o}$.

Postquam, ubi, ut, and simul atque in their various forms are listed together. The moods and tenses found with each are as follows: postquam, indicative present, $0,0,5$; perfect, $4,0,2 \mathrm{I}$; posteaquam, indicative perfect, $2, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{O}$; pridiequam, $\circ$; postridiequam, $\circ$; ubi, indicative present, $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I} 3$; perfect, $26, \mathrm{o}, 24$; pluperfect, $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}$; future perfect, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, 5$; ubi primum, indicative perfect, $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}$; $u t$, indicative perfect, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I} 4$; pluperfect, $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}$; ut primum, indicative perfect, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{r}$; simul atque, indicative perfect, $\mathrm{o}, 2, \mathrm{r}$; simul, indicative perfect, $\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}$; quotienscumque, indicative perfect, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{o}$.

Proviso includes occurrences with dum modo in Cicero, with modo and si modo in Vergil.

Cause: The subjunctive tenses found with cum follow: present, 2, 25, 0; imperfect, $37,4,0$; perfect, $\mathrm{I}, 5, \mathrm{o}$; pluperfect, $7, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}$.

Quod, quia, quoniam, and quando are taken together. The use of moods with each is as follows: quod, indicative, $69,48, \mathrm{o}$; subjunctive, $34, \mathrm{r} 6, \mathrm{r}$; quia, indicative, $0,5,5$; subjunctive, o; quoniam, indicative, $0,18,3$; subjunctive, 5 , $\circ$, $\circ$; quando, indicative, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, 5$; subjunctive, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, 2$.

Concession. Tametsi is listed with quamquar; with quamzis are licet, ut, and $n e$; etsi and etiamsi are together. Moods used with different concessive conjunctions are as follows: cum, subjunctive present, $\mathbf{~}, 6,0$; imperfect, $7, \mathrm{II}, \mathrm{r}$; perfect, $\mathrm{I}, 6$, o ; pluperfect, 3,5 , o ; quamquam, indicative, $\mathrm{o}, 8,3$; subjunctive, ○, ○, I; tametsi, indicative, o, 3, ○; subjunctive, $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I}, \circ ;$ quamvis, indicative, $\circ$, o, r ; licet, subjunctive, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, 3 ; u$, subjunctive, $\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{\circ}, \mathrm{\circ} ; n e, \circ$; etsi, indicative, $7,0,2$; etiamsi, indicative, $0,3,0$; subjunctive, $0,2,0$. The grammars show considerable variety in grouping concessive or adversative clauses. Tametsi may be listed with the etsi group without affecting the arrangements of this book.

Conditions: The regular types are not found in Caesar except in indirect discourse. Vergil has the indicative in 8 contrary-to-fact conditions and the
present subjunctive in 5 . The figures for mixed and irregular conditions are given merely for comparison; they do not constitute a real category.

The various formal combinations in conditional sentences are as follows: indicative present in protasis followed by indicative present, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I} 6, \mathrm{I} 2$; by future, $0,7,8$; by perfect, $\mathrm{o}, 2,2$; by future perfect, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I}$; by subjunctive present, $\circ, 7,18$; by imperfect, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{o}$; by imperative, $\mathrm{I}, 9,2 \mathrm{I}$; by clause with verb omitted, $0,0,4$; indicative imperfect followed by indicative imperfect, $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I}, 0$; indicative future with indicative present following, $0,4, \circ$; with future, $\mathrm{o}, 5, \mathrm{r}$; with subjunctive present, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}, 2$; indicative perfect with indicative present, $\mathrm{o}, 6$, 5 ; with imperfect, $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{o}$; with future, $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I}$; with perfect, $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{I}, 2$; with subjunctive present, $0,4,2$; with imperative, $0,0,6$; indicative pluperfect with indicative imperfect, $2,0,0$; indicative future perfect with indicative present, $0,5,0$; with future, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I} 9,6$; with future perfect, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}$, o ; with subjunctive present, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{o}$; with imperative, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{o}$; with no verb expressed, $\mathrm{o}, 3, \mathrm{o}$; subjunctive present with indicative present, $\mathrm{o}, 5,2$; with future, $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{I}, 2$; with perfect, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{r}$; with subjunctive present, $2,5,5$; with perfect, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}$; subjunctive imperfect with indicative imperfect, $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}$; with perfect, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{r}, 2$; with subjunctive imperfect, $8,14,7$; with pluperfect, $\mathrm{o}, 3,5$; with infinitive present, $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}$; with participle, $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{O}$; with no verb expressed, $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}$; subjunctive pluperfect with indicative present, $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{I}$; with imperfect, $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{o}, 2$; with perfect, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{O}, 2$; with pluperfect, $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{o}, 2$; with subjunctive imperfect, 4, 7, 4; with pluperfect, $\circ, 6,3$; with no verb expressed, $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{O}, 2$; ablative absolute with indicative present, $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{O}$; with future, $\mathrm{O}, 2, \mathrm{o}$; with perfect, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{\circ}$; with infinitive present, $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}$; verb omitted with indicative future, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, 2$; with subjunctive present, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}$, I ; with imperative, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}$. It should be noted in these figures that some apparently regular combinations are in reality mixed forms. Thus a present subjunctive in conclusion may be jussive, etc.

Those in indirect discourse show the following combinations: indicative present with infinitive future, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}$; subjunctive present with subjunctive present, $3, \circ$, $\circ$; with infinitive present, $9,2, \circ$; with future, $6, \circ, \circ$; with perfect, $\circ, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{r}$; subjunctive imperfect with subjunctive imperfect, $8, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}$; with infinitive present, $9, \circ, \circ$; with future, $6, \mathrm{I}, \circ$; with perfect, $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I}, \circ$; subjunctive perfect with subjunctive present, $2, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{o}$; with perfect, $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}$; with infinitive present, 2,2 , 0 ; with futu:e, $2,3,0$; subjunctive pluperfect with subjunctive imperfect, $4, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{o}$; with infinitive present, 2 , $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{O}$; with future, $\mathrm{II}, \mathrm{I}, 2$; with perfect, $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{O}$; with no verb expressed, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, 3$; ablative absolute with infinitive present, $2, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}$; with future, $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}$.

The use of conjunctions in conditional sentences is as follows, the same conjunctions often being employed with more than one verb: si, 82, 117, 87 ; nisi, 10, 20, 2; ni, 0, о, 10; $\sin , \mathrm{r}, 6,3$; sive, seu, 0, 2, 6; no conjunction, o, о, г.

Comparison: The one instance in Caesar is with velut si; in Cicero with quasi; Vergil has veluti 5 times ( 4 with the indicative, I with verb omitted), quam si and subjunctive, 3 , ceu and indicative, 4, subjunctive, 2, no verb, 2.

Indirect Discourse and Attraction, placed here for convenience
include other subordinate clauses as well as adverbial. An example of Repeated Action is appended to Attraction.

Infinitive. The category infinitive as object is used to designate cases of infinitive with subject accusative used as object of verbs like volo, patior, iubeo; it does not include indirect discourse. Where no subject accusative is introduced the infinitive is listed as complementary.

The infinitive is used as subject of the following expressions: est, $19,37,4$; habetur, $1,0,0$; interest, $1,0,0 ;$ licet, $9,3,13$; necesse est, 2, 3, 4; oportet, 14,15 , ○; opus est, 1 , $1, \circ$; placet, $2,5,1$; praestat, $6, \circ, 5$; videtur commodissimum, r , $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}$; constat, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{o}$; convenit, $\mathrm{o}, 2, \mathrm{o}$; libet, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{o}$; certum est, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{x}$; contingit, $\mathrm{\circ}, \mathrm{\circ}, 2 ;$ datur, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, 9$; decet, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{r} ;$ fas est, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{1} 4 ;$ iuvat, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, 14 ;$ mos est, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, 2$; nefas est, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, 2$; paenitet, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{x}$; piget, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I} ;$ pudet, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}$; sat or satis est, o , $\mathrm{o}, 5$; stat, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, 3$; succurrit, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{x}$; taedet, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{x}$; tempus est, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, 2$; videtur, o, o, r .

It is used in apposition with the following expressions: consuetudo, $2,0,0$; facinus, 2, ○, ○; form of is, 3, ०, ०; labor or labores, $\mathrm{o}, 2,1$; hic, $\mathrm{\circ}, 2,2$; ille, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{\circ}$; amor, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{\circ}, 4 ;$ amor et cupido, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, 2$; animus, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, 9$; cupido, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}$; cura, o, o, 3; potestas, o, o, 2; spes, о, о, 1.

It is used as a Predicate Noun with: invidia est, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}$; salus (est), $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}$, I .
The complementary infinitive is found with: audeo, $13,8, \mathrm{I}_{3} ;$ coepi, 46, 6, 3; conor, 18, 9, 5; consuesco, 23, o, o; constituo, 9, 0, 4; cupio, 2, 1, 6; debeo, 8,
 $\mathrm{r}, 4 ;$ instituo, $7, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{o}$; intermitto, $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}$; malo, $2,9, \mathrm{r} ;$ maturo, $2, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}$; neglego, I ,
 ०, 3; possum, 140, 154, 53; statuo, 1, о, ©; volo, 17, 34, 14; animum induco, ०, $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{\circ} ;$ debeo, $, \mathrm{o}, 30, \mathrm{o}$; desino, $\mathrm{o}, 8,2 ;$ disco, $\mathrm{o}, 7,3 ;$ soleo, $\mathrm{o}, 9,6 ;$ studeo, $\mathrm{o}, 6, \mathrm{o}$;
 contendo, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}$; curo, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, 3$; exposco, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I} ; f i d o, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I} ;$ gaudeo, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}$; horreo, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{x}$; insequor, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, 2$; insto, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, 5$; iuro, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{x}$; laetor, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{x}$; meditor, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, 2 ;$ memini, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, 5 ;$ nego, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{x} ;$ nequeo, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, 3 ;$ opto, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, 3$; ordior, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, 2 ;$ oro, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I} ;$ parco, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I} ;$ paro, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{12} ; \operatorname{pergo}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I} ;$ potis est ०, o, 1 ; quaero, o, o, 2; queo, o, o, $\mathbf{1}$; recuso, o, o, 4; scio, o, o, 2; spero, o, o, 2;
 o, o, 5; vereor, o, o, 1 .

The infinitive is used as the object of: adsuefacio, $1, \circ, \circ ; \operatorname{cog} 0,5,5,7$; desidero, $1, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{\circ}$; iubeo, 57, 13, 42; nolo, 4, o, ○; patior, 8, 9, 4; prohibeo, 4, ०, 4; veto, $1, \mathrm{o}, 2 ;$ volo, $1 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I}$, 7 ; cupio, $\mathrm{o}, 5, \mathrm{o}$; imperor, $\mathrm{o}, 3, \mathrm{\circ}$; iubeor, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{\circ}$; malo,
 doceo, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, 2$; facio, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, 2$; hortor, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, 7$; impello, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, 4 ;$ impero and passive, $\mathrm{\circ}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}$; opto, $\mathrm{\circ}, \mathrm{\circ}, 2$; persuadeo, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, 2$; posco, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I} ;$ probo, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, 2$; stimulo, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{r}$; suadeo, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, 2$; subigo, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, 2$.

The infinitive is used in Indirect Discourse with admiror, $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{\circ}$; ago,
 cognosco, 10, 1, ○; commemoro, 2, 3, ○; comperio, 5, 5, ○; conclamo, 2, ○, 2;
confido，4，5，○；confirmo，4，6，○；coniuro，2，०，०；conspicio，6，2，०；constat， 4，०，○；constituo， $1, \circ, \circ$ ；credo， $2,2,9$ ；demonstro， $6, \circ, \circ ;$ denuntio， $\mathrm{I}, \circ, \circ ;$ dico， 58，60，1；dicor，2，14，1；doceo，4，०，०；doleo，І，○，○；duco，2，2，○；existimo，

 ostendo，3，○，○；persuadeo，3，○，○；polliceor，9，2，I；praedico，－are，30，2， 0 ； probo，2，o，о；propono，8，2，○；puto，15，43，5；queror，3，1，о；renuntio，3，○，○；
 ○，о；simulo，1，1，○；spero，1，7，8；statuo，6，2，○；suspicor，5，०，०；video，27，49， 20；videor，6，63，35；certiorem facio， $1 \mathrm{I}, \circ, 0$ ；civitatem obstringo，3，○，○；cum his mandatis， $3, \circ, \circ$ ；est verbum，15，○，○；exitus est orationis， $5, \circ, \circ ;$ in spem venio， $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{\circ}, \mathrm{\circ}$ ；legatos mitto，4，○，○；legati gratulatum veniunt， $\mathbf{~ , ~ ○ , ~ ○ ; ~ m e m o r i a ~}$ teneo， $3, \mathrm{I}, \circ ;$ moleste fero， $2, \circ, \circ ;$ nuntius mitto， $\mathrm{I}, \circ, \circ$ ；oratio est， $3, \circ, \circ ;$ satis habeo， $\mathbf{1}, \circ, \circ$ verba facio，6，○，○；commemoro，2，○，○；habere explorata dico， 12，○，○；incuso，19，○，○；obsecro，5，○，○；oro，2，○，○；peto，1，○，○；postulo， 2，०，०；se ad pedes proiciunt，2，०，०；accipio，०，5，○；admoneo，○，2，土；agnosco， ○，I，I；aio，○，2，2；censeo，○，1，○；concedo，○，1，○；confiteor，○，5，○；contendo，
 ○，ェ，○；dictito，○，ェ，○；fateor，○，8，ェ；ignoro，○，3，○；indico，○，2，○；infiteor，
 obliviscor，○，3，○；obtineo，○，1，○；opinor，○，1，○；praecipio，○ 5，○；praescribo， ○，1，○；profiteor，○，2，○；provideo，○，1，○；putor，○，1，○；recordor，○，1，○； scribo， $0,2, \circ ;$ suadeo， $0,2, \circ ;$ testor， $0,1,3$ ；opinio est， $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{O}$ ；responsum
 feror，○，○，2；iuro，○，○，I；mentior，○，○， 1 ；persentio，○，○，2；promitto，○，○，5；
 prospicio，○，○，2；fama est，○，○，7；fama fert，○，○， 1 ；fama volat，○，○， 4；fides manifesta，○，○， $\mathbf{1}$ ；nuntius venit，○，○， $\mathbf{1}$ ；signum effodio，○，○， $\mathbf{1}$ ；vox （est），о，о， $\mathbf{I}$ ．
 praestantior，○，○， 2.

The infinitive expresses Purpose with：do，o，o，9；instituo，o，o，I；vaco， ○，○，I；venio，o，o，I．

Participle．The present participle occurs in the following cases；nominative， 9，9，270；genitive，3，3，23；dative，5，5，28；accusative，17，30，223；ablative， ○，3，20；ablative absolute，additional，11，7，27；vocative， 0 ．

The perfect participle occurs in the following cases：nominative，213，119， 515；genitive，4，4，29；dative，6，4，29；accusative，83，105， 326 ；ablative，12， 22，57；ablative absolute，additional， 384,58 ， 126 ；vocative， 0 ， 10,25 ；deponent nominative，88，7，ІІ9；genitive，○，○， $1 \cdot$ dative，$\circ$ ，○， 3 ；accusative， $6,1,8$ ； ablative， $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}$ ；vocative， $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, 6$ ；middle nominative， $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I} 5$ ．

The future participle occurs in the following cases，not including those in active periphrastic combination：nominative， 0,0 ， 14 ；genitive， $0,0,2$ ；dative， $\circ, \circ, 4 ;$ accusative，$\circ, \circ, 9$ ；ablative，○，○， ．

The active periphrastic occurs in the following moods: indicative, $1,5, \mathrm{I}$; subjunctive, 3,5 , o.

The gerundive occurs in the following cases, not including periphrastic use: nominative, 1 , 8, 7; genitive, 17, 1о, о; with causa, additional, 12, 7, о; dative, $2,0,1$; accusative, 1,13 , 16; with curo, additional, $3,0,0$; with ad, additional, 33, 42, 0; ablative, II, 22, I; vocative, $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{I}$.

The passive periphrastic occurs in the following moods: indicative, 14, 39 , 12; subjunctive, 2,10 , 0 ; infinitive, $34,39,5$.

Gerund. The following cases of the gerund are found: genitive, 29, 22, 11; with causa, additional, $12, \mathrm{o}, \circ$; dative, o ; accusative, o ; except with ad, 7, 12, o; ablative, 4, 15, 16 .

# THE USE OF STATISTICAL EVIDENCE IN CURRICULUM-MAKING 

## THE BEARING OF STATISTICS ON THE SELECTION OF MATERIAL

Other things being equal, when we select constructions to teach we should choose those of frequent occurrence, because acquaintance with these will make a maximum contribution toward successful reading. We should omit, or give only slight and incidental attention to, constructions of infrequent occurrence. It will be a further aid to intelligent selection if we exhibit the constructions arranged in the order of their total frequency in high-school Latin. Accordingly this is done in Table II. The column "Occurrences" gives the total number of occurrences for each construction separately, arranging them in the order of numerical size. In the column "Total Occurrences" there is a cumulative adding together of the successive numbers from the preceding column, so that one can see at a glance the total number of occurrences of the highest ten, the highest twenty, or any specific number of constructions. The column "Percentage of Constructions" shows what percentage any specific number of constructions is of the total number examined, and the column "Percentage of Occurrences" shows the percentage which the occurrences of the highest ten, highest twenty, etc., are of the total number of occurrences of all the constructions. Thus we see at once that the highest io per cent of the constructions account for 70 per cent of all the occurrences, the highest 20 per cent for 85 per cent of all the occurrences, etc.

The same facts are illustrated in Diagrams IA and IB. The two diagrams are identical except that IB uses a left-to-right scale $6 \frac{2}{3}$ times as large as IA, and consequently has the fifteen highest frequencies cut off at the right edge of the diagram.

Examination of the table and the diagrams makes it clear that the variations in frequency of different syntactical constructions are not moderate but extremely large. The complementary infinitive is a hundred times as frequent as the supine in -um, relative clauses with the indicative two hundred times as frequent as relative clauses of concession, the infinitive in indirect discourse a hundred times as frequent as

TABLE II
Relative Frequency of Constructions

| Construction | Occurrences | Total Occurrences | Percentage of Constructions | Percentage of Occurrences |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Nominative | 6,088 | 6,088 | 0.7 | 12.3 |
| 2. Accusative, direct object | 5,900 | 1 1,988 | 1.4 | 24.2 |
| 3. Independent clause, indicative. | 4,976 | 16,964 | 2.1 | $34 \cdot 3$ |
| 4. Tenses, subjective regular sequence.. | 2,825 | 19,789 | 2.8 | 40.0 |
| 5. Participle, perfect. | 2,387 | 22,176 | $3 \cdot 5$ | 44.9 |
| 6. Genitive, possessive | 2,294 | 24,470 | $4 \cdot 3$ | 49.5 |
| 7. Tenses, indicative regular sequence.. | 2,153 | 26,623 | 5.0 | $53 \cdot 5$ |
| 8. Ablative, means. | 1,478 | 28,101 | 5.7 | 56.9 |
| 9. Ablative, place | 1,258 | 29,359 | 6.4 | 59.4 |
| ro. Accusative, limit. | 1,223 | 30,582 | 7.1 | 61.9 |
| ri. Relative clause, indica | 1,223 | 31,805 | 7.8 | 64.4 |
| 12. Infinitive, indirect disco | 1,174 | 32,979 | 8.5 | 66.8 |
| I3. Ablative, separation | I, 161 | 34,140 | 9.2 | 69.1 |
| 14. Accusative, subject of inf | 1,147 | 35,287 | 9.9 | 71.4 |
| 15. Accusative with prepositions | 982 | 36,269 | 10.6 | 73.4 |
| 16. Infinitive, complementary. | 816 | 37,085 | 11.3 | 75.1 |
| 17. Ablative absolute. | 729 | 37,814 | 12.0 | 76.6 |
| 18. Participle, present | 693 | 38,507 | 12.8 | 78.0 |
| 19. Tenses, indicative irregular sequence | 598 | 39,105 | 13.5 | 79.2 |
| 20. Dative, indirect object.......... | 436 | 39,54I | 14.2 | 80.1 |
| 21. Ablative, manner | 432 | 39,973 | 14.9 | 80.9 |
| 22. Vocative. | 369 | 40,342 | 15.6 | 81.7 |
| 23. Genitive, objectiv | 349 | 40,691 | 16.3 | 82.4 |
| 24. Imperative. | 347 | 41,038 | 17.0 | 83.1 |
| 25. Dative with compounds | 333 | 41,371 | 17.7 | 83.8 |
| 26. Adverbial clause, time, | 297 | 41,668 | 18.4 | 84.4 |
| 27. Ablative, respect. | 292 | 41,960 | 19.1 | 85.0 |
| 28. Ablative, accompanime | 28I | 42,24I | 19.9 | 85.6 |
| 29. Dative, reference . | 279 | 42,520 | 20.6 | 86.1 |
| 30. Genitive, partitive | 269 | 42,789 | 21.3 | 86.6 |
| 31. Infinitive as object............... | 259 | 43,048 | 22.0 | 87.1 |
| 32. Adverbial clause, subordinate in ind. disc. | 243 | 43,291 | 22.7 | 87.6 |
| 33. Ablative, cause | 238 | 43,529 | 23.4 | 88.1 |
| 34. Ablative, time. | 229 | 43,758 | 24.1 | 88.6 |
| -35. Ablative, agent | 218 | 43,976 | 24.8 | 89.0 |
| 36. Substantive clause, indirect question | 215 | 44,191 | 25.5 | 89.5 |
| 37. Infinitive as subject. . . . . . . . . . . . . | 212 | 44,403 | -26.2 | 89.9 |
| 38. Adverbial clause, cause, quod, etc... | 211 | 44,614 | 26.9 | 90.3 |
| 39. Adverbial clauses, miscellaneous indicative. | 208 | 44,822 | 27.7 | 90.8 |
| 40. Gerundive. | 208 | 45,030 | 28.4 | 91.2 |
| 41. Genitive, material | 191 | 45,221 | 29.1 | 91.6 |
| 42. Accusative, adverbial | 187 | 45,408 | 29.8 | 91.9 |
| 43. Dative with special verbs | 159 | 45,567 | 30.5 | 92.2 |
| 44. Ablative with preposition | 155 | 45,722 | 31.2 | 92.5 |
| 45. Passive periphrastic. | 155 | 45,877 | 31.9 | 92.8 |
| 46. Substantive clause, volitiv | 152 | 46,029 | 32.6 | 93.1 |
| 47. Relative clause, descriptive | 152 | 46,181 | 33.3 | 93.4 |
| 48. Conditions, mixed and irregular | 151 | 46,332 | 34.0 | 93.7 |
| 49. Gerund | 128 | 46,460 | 34.7 | 94.0 |

TABLE II-Continued

| Construction | Occurrences | Total Occurrences | Percentage of Constructions | Percentage of Occurrences |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50. Adverbial clause, time, postquam, etc. | 127 | 46,587 | 35.5 | $94 \cdot 3$ |
| 51. Adverbial clause, purpose. | 118 | 46,705 | 36.2 | 94.5 |
| 52. Adverbial clause, result | 118 | 46,823 | 36.9 | $94 \cdot 7$ |
| 53. Dative with adjectives. | 115 | 46,938 | 37.6 | 94.9 |
| 54. Dative, possessor | 105 | 47,043 | 38.3 | 95.1 |
| 55. Dative, agent | 100 | 47,143 | 39.0 | $95 \cdot 3$ |
| 56. Ablative, descriptive | 94 | 47,237 | 39.7 | 95.5 |
| 57. Accusative, extent, durati | 86 | 47,323 | 40.4 | 95.7 |
| 58. Ablative, source. | 84 | 47,407 | 41.1 | 95.9 |
| 59. Ablative, utor, etc | 84 | 47,491 | 41.8 | 96.1 |
| 60. Adverbial clause, cause, | 81 | 47,572 | 42.5 | 96.3 |
| 61. Dative, purpose. | 79 | 47,651 | 43.2 | 96.5 |
| 62. Genitive with adjectives | 77 | 47,728 | 43.9 | 96.6 |
| 63. Genitive, descriptive | 77 | 47,805 | 44.6 | 96.8 |
| 64. Ablative, difference | 76 | 47,88I | $45 \cdot 3$ | 96.9 |
| 65. Substantive clause, quod | 76 | 47,957 | 46.1 | 97.1 |
| 66. Relative clause, purpose | 67 | 48,024 | 46.8 | 97.2 |
| 67. Dative, direction, etc. | 66 | 48,090 | 47.5 | 97.4 |
| 68. Substantive clause, result | 64 | 48,154 | 48.2 | 97.5 |
| 69. Adverbial clause, time, dum | 62 | 48,216 | 48.9 | 97.6 |
| 70. Conditions contrary to fact | 55 | 48,271 | 49.6 | 97.7 |
| 71. Conditions, regular in ind. disc | 55 | 48,326 | 50.3 | 97.8 |
| 72. Accusative, respect. | 50 | 48,376 | 51.0 | 97.9 |
| 73. Conditions, simple. . . . . | 50 | 48,426 | 51.8 | 98.0 |
| 74. Independent clause, subjunctive, volitive, 3d per. | 48 | 48,474 | 52.5 | 98.1 |
| 75. Independent clause, subjunctive, deliberative. | 45 | 48,519 | 53.2 | 98.2 |
| 76. Two accusatives, making, etc | 43 | 48,562 | 53.9 | 98.3 |
| 77. Adverbial clause, concession, cum | 4 I | 48,603 | 54.6 | 98.4 |
| 78. Infinitive, historical | 39 | 48,642 | $55 \cdot 3$ | 98.5 |
| 79. Locative case. | 38 | 48,680 | 56.0 | 98.6 |
| 80. Infinitive in apposition. . . . . . . . . . | 37 | 48,717 | 56.7 | 98.6 |
| 81: Adverbial clause, subjunctive in attraction | 35 | 48,752 | 57.4 | 98.7 |
| 82. Subordinate clauses in ind. disc | 34 | 48,786 | 58.1 | 98.8 |
| 83. Relative clause, cause | 32 | 48,818 | 58.8 | 98.9 |
| 84. Conditions, more vivid future | 31 | 48,849 | 59.6 | 98.9 . |
| 85. Participle, future | 30 | 48,879 | 60.3 | 99.0 |
| 86. Substant.ve clause, quin, stc. | 26 | 48,905 | 61.0 | 99.0 |
| 87. Independent clause, subjunctive, volitive, ist per. | 25 | 48,930 | 61.7 | 99.1 |
| 88. Ablative in comparison............ | 22 | 48,952 | 62.4 | 99.1 |
| 89. Independent clause subj. for imper. in ind. disc. | 20 | 48,972 | 63.1 | 99.2 |
| 90. Adverbial clause, time, antequam, etc. | 20 | 48,992 | 63.8 | 99.2 |
| 91. Adverbial clause, comparison. | 18 | 49,010 | 64.5 | 99.2 |
| 92. Relative clause, result. . . . . . . | 17 | 49,027 | 65.2 | 99.3 |
| 93. Adverbial clause, concession, quamquam, etc. | 17 | 49,044 | 65.9 | 99.3 |
| 94. Supine in $-u \ldots . .$. | 16 | 49,060 | 66.6 | 99.3 |
| 95. Accusative, exclamation. | 15 | 49,075 | 67.4 | 99.4 |

TABLE II-Continued

| Construction | Occurrences | Total Occurrences | Percentage of Construction | Percentage of Occurrences |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 96. Independent clause, ne and imperative | 15 | 49,090 | 68.1 | 99.4 |
| 97. Independent clause, subjective, optative. | 15 | 49,105 | 68.8 | 99.4 |
| 98. Active periphrasti | 15 | 49,120 | 69.5 | 99.5 |
| 99. Genitive, remembering, etc | 14 | 49,134 | 70.2 | 99.5 |
| 100. Genitive, miseret, etc. | 14 | 49,148 | 70.9 | 99.5 |
| roi. Independent clause, subjunctive, volitive, 2 d per. | 14 | 49,162 | 71.6 | 99.5 |
| 102. Adverbial clause, concession, etsi, etc. | 14 | 49,176 | 72.3 | 99.6 |
| 103. Tenses, sequence in result clauses... | 12 | 49,188 | 73.0 | 99.6 |
| 104. Substantive clause, fear | 12 | 49,200 | 73.7 | 99.6 |
| 105. Infinitive, purpose. | 12 | 49,212 | 74.4 | 99.6 |
| 106. Independent clause, súbjunctive, potential. | II | 49,223 | 75.2 | 99.7 |
| 107. Infinitive with adjectives | 10 | 49,233 | 75.9 | 99.7 |
| 108. Independent clause, subj. for interr. in ind. disc. | 8 | 49,24I | 76.6 | 99.7 |
| 109. Adverbial clause, proviso | 8 | 49,249 | 77.3 | 99.7 |
| 110. Supine in -um. | 8 | 49,257 | 78.0 | 99.7 |
| III. Genitive, value | 7 | 49,264 | 78.7 | 99.8 |
| II 2. Accusative, cogna | 7 | 49,27 1 | 79.4 | 99.8 |
| 113. Ablative, price:.. | 6 | 49,277 | 80.1 | 99.8 |
| 114. Independent clause, subjunctive, concessive. | 6 | 49,283 | 80.8 | 99.8 |
| 115. Relative clause, concession. | 6 | 49,289 | 81.5 | 99.8 |
| 116. Conditions, simple general. | 6 | 49,295 | 82.2 | 99.8 |
| 117. Conditions, less vivid future.: | 6 | 49,301 | 83.0 | 99.8 |
| 118. Independent clause, subjunctive, obligation | 5 | 49,306 | 83.7 | 99.8 |
| 119. Substantive clause, optative. | 5 | 49,3 I I | 84.4 | 99.9 |
| 120. Adverbial clause, concession, quam vis, etc. | 5 | 49,316 | 85.1 | 99.9 |
| 121. Two accusatives, asking, etc | 4 | 49,320 | 85.8 | 99.9 |
| 122. Ablative, penalty. ........ | 4 | 49,324 | 86.5 | 99.9 |
| 123. Tenses, irregular subj. sequence with $q u i$ | 4 | 49,328 | 87.2 | 99.9 |
| 124. Tenses, sequence in purpose clauses . | 4 | 49,332 | 87.9 | 99.9 |
| 125. Genitive, accusing, etc | 3 | 49,335 | 88.6 | 99.9 |
| 126. Genitive, interest, etc | 3 | 49,338 | 89.3 | 99.9 |
| 127. Two accusatives with compounds | 3 | 49,341 | 90.0 | 99.9 |
| 128. Infinitive, exclamation. | 3 | 49,344 | 90.8 | 99.9 |
| 129. Genitive, verbs of plenty, etc. | 2 | 49,346 | 91.5 | 99.9 |
| 130. Genitive, potior.......... | 2 | 49,348 | 92.2 | 99.9 |
| 131. Tenses, parenthetical purpose | 2 | 49,350 | 92.9 | 99.9 |
| 132. Tenses in cum clauses. | 2 | 49,352 | 93.6 | 99.9 |
| 133. Tenses in conditions contrary to fact | 2 | 49,354 | 94.3 | 99.9 |
| 134. Relative clause, condition. | 2 | 49,356 | 95.0 | 99.9 |
| 135. Infinitive as predicate noun | 2 | 49,358 | 95.7 | 99.9 |
| 136. Independent clause, imperative, noli | 1 | 49,359 | 96.4 | 99.9 |
| 137. Relative clause, imperative. | I | 49,360 | 97.1 | 99.9 |
| 138. Relative clause, wish | 1 | 49,361 | 97.8 | 100.0 |
| 39. Genitive, admoneo, etc | - | 49,361 | 98.6 | 100.0 |
| 140. Independent clause, imperative, cave | $\bigcirc$ | 49,361 | 99.3 | 100.0 |
| 141. Independent clause, ne and vol. subj., 2d per. | $\bigcirc$ | 49,361 | 100.0 | 100.0 |



Diagram Ib.-14I constructions. Relative frequency of occurrence in high-school Latin. Scale enlarged by cutting off the graph lines of the first fifteen constructions.
the subjunctive after verbs of fearing, the indicative in independent clauses between four and five hundred times as frequent as the potential subjunctive in independent clauses, and the ablative of means two hundred times as frequent as the ablative of price. It is evident that the unconscious assumption that all the constructions listed in a grammar are about equally deserving of study, the assumption on which much of our teaching has actually been based, has no support whatever in the real facts of the situation.

## THE BEARING OF STATISTICS ON THE AMOUNT OF MATERIAL

Statistics of frequency of usage should ordinarily be the basis of decision to devote time to one construction in preference to some other construction or, in other words, the basis of selection. Another question is, How far should the study of syntax be carried? How many constructions should be included? The statistics of frequency will also assist anyone who attempts a rational answer to this question. Naturally the largest profit attaches to the study of the most frequently used constructions, and as we go down the scale we find the constructions successively becoming less and less profitable as additions to our equipment; we meet with "diminishing returns." This is evident from the figures of Table II, and Diagram II represents the same facts graphically. In the diagram the dots represent separate constructions arranged in the order of Table II, that is, the order of greatest frequency. For any dot the abscissa, or distance from the left edge of the diagram, represents the number of constructions of which this is the last taken. The ordinate, or distance from the lower edge, represents the percentage which the occurrences of these many constructions constitute of the whole number of occurrences of all constructions. Thus as we follow the dots around the curve from the origin, the first dot indicates that the first construction accounts for 12 per cent of all the occurrences, the second that the first two account for 24 per cent, the third that the first three account for 34 per cent; similarly the first five account for 44 per cent, the first ten for 6 I per cent, the first twenty for 80 per cent, and so on. Or, in terms of percentages:
$10 \%$ of the constructions account for over $71.0 \%$ of the occurrences

| 20\% | " | " | " | " | 85.0\% | " | " |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30\% | " | " | " | " | 91.0\% | " | " |
| 40\% | " | " | " | " | 95.0\% | " | " |
| 50\% | " | " | " | " | 97.0\% | " | " |
| 60\% | " | " | " | " | 98.0\% | " | " |
| 70\% | " | " | " | " | 99.0\% | " | " |
| 80\% | " | " | " | " | 99.8\% | " | " |
| 90\% | " | " | " | " | 99.9\% | " | " |
| 100\% | " | " | " | " | 100.0\% | " | " |

Actual number of constructions


Percentage of total number of constructions
Diagram II.-Percentage of the total number of occurrences belonging to each fractional part of the total number of constructions.

The approach of the curved line to a horizontal, as well as its close proximity to the 100 per cent line, represented by the upper edge of the diagram, indicates the small and diminishing increment of profit to be attached to the adding of the less frequent constructions. If all the constructions were equally frequent the curve would be a diagonal straight line from the lower left to the upper right corner. The marked convexity of the curve is another index to the inequality in frequency of occurrences. At just what point the adding of new constructions shall cease is a question for individual or collective judgment to decide; the statistical exhibit may serve as part of the scientific basis for such a decision.

There is some advantage in the further examination of a specimen selection. Professor Lodge in his Vocabulary of High School Latin submits as his selection of words mainly those found to occur as many as five times in the high-school reading. These are 42 per cent of all the words and they account for $933^{\frac{5}{0}}$ per cent of all the occurrences. Similarly in the subsequent portions of this book we are distinguishing as a specimen selection the constructions used as many as five times by any one author, together with those found ten times in the three authors but less than five times in any one. The total number of all constructions examined is 141 , and these are found employed in $49,36 \mathrm{I}$ instances. But as sequence of indicative tenses and mixed conditions were given only for purposes of comparison and are not usually taught as grammatical categories, this really reduces to 137 constructions employed 46,425 times. The specimen selection based on five occurrences in one author, or ten in all three, includes 109 of these constructions, and their occurrences number 46,339 out of the 46,425 . That is, $79{ }_{10}^{5}$. per cent of the constructions are employed in $99 \frac{8}{10}$ per cent of the instances. Considered with reference to the number of occurrences this selection is evidently very large, accounting in fact for almost all of them, or, to be precise, for more than $99 \frac{8}{10}$ per cent. I should be prepared to hear that some Latin departments would advocate the covering of not over 95 or 90 per cent of the ground instead of the $99 \frac{1}{10}^{8} 0$ per cent represented by this very conservative selection. The 109 constructions are enumerated in the next section.

## THE BEARING OF STATISTICS ON THE ARRANGEMENT OF MATERIAL

Besides contributing to an intelligent selection of material and determination of the amount of material to be used, the statistics of usage are also a scientific basis for the arrangement of the selected material in the curriculum. It is obvious that the constructions used by Caesar are in the main the ones that should be studied in the Caesar year, those used by Cicero the ones that should be studied in the Cicero year, and those used by Vergil the proper ones for the Vergil year. Of course they overlap, and many continue to be used throughout the course. But as a matter of pedagogical principle constructions common in Cicero, but not common in Caesar, should not be studied until Cicero is reached and they are actually needed; constructions common in Vergil, but not common in Caesar or Cicero, should not be studied until Vergil is reached. For the first year of the usual four-year course it may be best to use those constructions of the greatest frequency in Caesar. If we assign to the first year those constructions found 50 times in Caesar, and arrange our specimen selection of 109 constructions in the manner suggested, the distribution in a four-year course would be:

| Year | Basis | Number New Constructions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 50 times in Caesar | 45 |
| 2. | 5 times in Caesar | 31 |
| 3. | 5 times in Cicero | 19 |
|  | 5 times in Vergil | 14 |
| Total. |  | 109 |

Table III (p. 35) exhibits these constructions arranged in this manner.

An arrangement by half-years would be more arbitrary. In the upper years perhaps there is not enough new material to make it worth while to divide it by half-years. All the new Cicero constructions might be learned in the first half of the year and reviewed in the second, and all the new Vergil constructions similarly. In the first two years the new material is so copious that a subdivision is probably desirable. In the first year, if we take in the first semester those constructions used 90 times in Caesar and in the second semester those used 50 times, the apportionment will be 26 and i9 respectively. In Caesar a grouping of

## TABLE III

Synopsis of Syntax by Years-Cases

|  | First Year | Second Year | Third Year | Fourth Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nominative............ | Nominative |  |  |  |
| Vocative............... | vocalive |  | Vocartive ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| Genitive................ | Possessive Objective Partitive Material | Desčriptive With adjectives r |  | Remembering miseret, etc. |
| Dative................ | $\checkmark$ Indirěct object <br> ${ }^{\circ}$ Special verbs <br> $\checkmark$ Compounds Reference - Purpose | -Agént Possessor With ${ }^{\text {ªdjectives }}$ |  | Direction, etc. |
| Accusative.............. | $\checkmark$ Diréct object <br> Limit <br> $\checkmark$ Subj. of infinitive <br> $\checkmark$ With prepositions | Adverbial <br> -Extent, duration | $\sqrt{\text { Two ač. making }}$ Exclamation | Cognate Respect |
| Ablative................ | $\checkmark$ Separǎtion <br> $\checkmark$ Agent - <br> $\checkmark$ Means <br> Cause . <br> $\checkmark$ Mañner <br> $\checkmark$ Accompaniment <br> - Respéçt <br> Absolute <br> - Plăce <br> $\checkmark$ Time <br> $\checkmark$ With prepositions | Source <br> utor, etc. <br> ${ }^{2}$ Difference <br> Descriptive | Comparison |  |
| Locative. . . . . . . . . . . . |  | VLochative $V$ |  |  |

TABLE III-Continued
Synopsis of Syntax by Years-Tenses and Moods

|  | First Year | Second Year | Third Year | Fourth Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tenses................ | Regular sequence |  | Irr. seq. Result |  |
| Independent clauses. . . | Indicative | Subj. for Imper. Or. Ob. Subj. for Interr. Or. Ob. | Imperative Subj.--Volitive 3 p./ "" $\quad$ Deliber. " $\quad$ Concess. " $\quad$ Potent. | $n e$ and Imperative <br> Subj.-Volitive I p. <br> " Optative ${ }^{2}$ p. <br> " Obligation |
| Substantive clauses..... | quod <br> Volitive <br> Indirect question | quin, etc. <br> Fear <br> Result |  |  |
| Relative clauses........ | Indicative | $\checkmark$ Purpose $!$ <br> ${ }^{\checkmark}$ Descriptive | Result Cause |  |
| Adverbial clauses ...... | Indicative <br> $\times$ Purpose <br> $\checkmark$ Result $v$ <br> $\checkmark$ Time-cum Cause-quod $レ$ Subord. in indirect discourse | ```Time-antequam, etc. " dum, etc. postquam, etc. Cause-cum Concession-cum " etsi Attraction``` | Proviso Concession-quamquam Conditions-Simple " " " " $\quad$ Cont. fut. fact. | Comparison |
| Infinitive . . . . . . . . . . | ${ }^{J}$ Subjelct <br> Complementary <br> $\checkmark$ Objéct <br> $\checkmark$ Indirect discourse | Apposition With adjectives Historical |  | Purpose, etc. |
| Participle . . . . . . . . . . . | Perfect $\checkmark$ <br> Gerundive <br> Passive periphrastic | Present | Active periphrastic | $\checkmark$ Fưture |
| Gerund and supine... | $\checkmark$ Gerưnd $v$ | Supine in -um |  | Supine in -u |

20 and 11 is secured by assigning those used over 12 times to the third half-year and those used 5 times to the fourth. The half-year distribution described may be summarized thus:

| Half-Year | Basis | Number New Constructions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1........ | 90 times in Caesar | 26 |
| 2 | 50 times in Caesar | 19 |
| 3 | 13 times in Caesar | 20 |
| 4......... | 5 times in Caesar | 11 |
|  | 5 times in Cicero | 19 |
|  | Review of all prose constructions | $\bigcirc$ |
| 7 | 5 times in Vergil | 14 |
| 8. | Review of all constructions | - |
| Total.. |  | 109 |

The tentative arrangement of specific constructions by half-years is shown in Table IV (p. 38).

TABLE IV
Tentative Synopsis by Half-Years

|  | First Half-Year | Second Half-Year | Third Half-Year | Fourth Half-Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nominative...... . | Nominative |  |  |  |
| Vocative. . . . . . . |  |  |  |  |
| Genitive.......... | Possessive <br> Partitive | Objective Material | Descriptive | With adjectives |
| Dative........... | Ind. object | Special verbs <br> Compounds <br> Reference <br> Purpose | Agent W. adjectives | Possessor |
| Accusative........ | Dir. object Limit Subj. infin. W.prepositions |  | Adverbial Extent, duration |  |
| Ablative.......... | Separation <br> Agent <br> Means <br> Cause <br> Accompaniment <br> Absolute <br> Place <br> Time | Manner <br> Respect <br> W. prepositions | Source <br> utor, etc. <br> Difference <br> Descriptive |  |
| Locative. . . . . . . . |  |  |  | Locative |
| Tenses........... | Regular sequence |  |  |  |
| Independent cl.... | Indicative |  | Subj. for imp. in Or. Ob. | Subj. for int. in Or. Ob. |
| Substantive cl..... |  | quod <br> Volitive <br> Ind. question | quin, etc. <br> Result | Fear |
| Relative cl........ | Indicative |  | Purpose Descriptive |  |
| Adverbial cl. . .... | Time-cum Cause-quod In ind. disc. | Indicative <br> Purpose <br> Result | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time-dum } \\ & \text { " quam } \\ & \text { Cause-cum } \\ & \text { Cattraction } \end{aligned}$ | Time-antequam Concessioncum $\qquad$ etsi |
| Infinitive......... | Complement'y Object <br> Ind. disc. | Subject | Historical | Apposition <br> W. adjective |
| Participle........ | Perfect | Gerundive Pass. periphrastic | Present |  |
| Gerund and supine |  | Gerund |  | Supine in -um |

TABLE IV-Continued
Tentative Synopsis by Half-Years


With the coming of junior high schools we shall have to work out arrangements for six-year courses. Possibly a common type of curriculum will be the extension of "beginning" work over the first two years, assigning Caesar to the third, Cicero to the fourth, Vergil to the fifth, and what is now read by college Freshmen to the sixth. If so, a
specimen six-year arrangement might be not greatly different from what we have suggested for four years, for example:

| Year | Basis | Number New Constructions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 90 times in Caesar | 26 |
| 2. | 40 times in Caesar | 25 |
| 3. | 5 times in Caesar | 25 |
| 4. | 5 times in Cicero | 19 |
|  | 5 times in Vergil | 14 |
| 6 | Use in the sixth-year readings |  |

The specific constructions indicated can be readily ascertained from Tables III, IV, and I.

## ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLES CLASSIFIED UNDER GRAMMATICAL HEADINGS

It has been thought that it might add to the usefulness of the book to include a selection of illustrative examples. For each construction five examples are given from each author (if he employed it that many times). Following the style of typography of the Lodge Vocabulary, we have used black type to indicate constructions used as many as five times by Caesar, ordinary type for the additional constructions used five times by Cicero, and small capitals for the new constructions used five times by Vergil; moreover, those used less than five times in any one author, but as many as ten times in all three, are placed in either the Cicero or the Vergil list. All other constructions are printed in extra small type.

The examples are first presented in the order of grammatical classification as in Table I. Then the same examples are presented in their order of occurrence in the texts.

## SELECTED EXAMPLES

## Nominative

Nominative: Gallia, B. G. ${ }^{\text {I }}$ i. I, I; pars, 1, 5; is, 2, 1; Orgetorix, 3, 3; Caesar, 32, 2. furor, Cat., i. 1; castra, 5; is, 22; ego, 29; homines, 31. urbs, Aen. i. 12; Iuno, 36; Aeolus, 76; Venus, 325; iniuria, 341.

## Vocative

Vocative: milites, B. G. iv. 25, 3. Catilina, Cat. i. 1; Catilina, 2; Catilina, 3; Catilina, 4; patres, 4. Aeole, Aen. i. 65; regina, 76; Eure, 140; rex, 24I; Cytherea, 257.

## Genitive

Possessive: provinciae, B. G. i. 1, 3; nobilitatis, 2, 1; eius, 7, 3; fuminis, 8, 4; Aeduorum, II, I. urbis, Cat. i. I; populi, I; bonorum, I; senatus, I; horum, 1. Troiae, Aen. i. 1; superum, 4; Iunonis, 4; Romae, 7; deum, 9.

Objective: regni, B. G. i. 2, 1; causae, 4, 2; reditionis, 5, 3; itineris, 7, 4; regni, 9, 3. Palati, Cat. i. 1; seditionum, 4; castrorum, 5; optumatium, 7; urbis, 9. belli, Aen. i. 14; formae, 27; generis, 132; pelagi, 138; telluris, 171.

Partitive: quarum, B. G. i. 1, 1; horum, 1, 3; fluminis, 1, 6; Oceani, 1, 7; passuum, 2, 5. consili, Cat. i. 1; nostrum, 1; nostrum, 2; detrimenti, 4; gentium, 9. regni, Aen. i. 78; gentis, 96; sororum, 322; sororum, 326; sanguinis, 329.

Material: hominum, B. G. i. 4, 3; equitum, 15, 3; dediticiorum, 27, 4; hominum, 35, 3; hominum, ii. 6, 2. hostium, Cat. i. 5; amicorum, 11; coniuratorum, 12; temporis, Arch. 1; hominum, 3. aquae, Aen. i. 105; harenae, 112; alarum, 301; argenti, 359; auri, iii. 49.

Descriptive: mensium, B. G. i. 5, 3; pedum, 8, 1; legionum, 24, 2; pedum, ii. 5, 6; modi, iii. 12, 1. modi, Cat. i. 4; ordinum, iv. 14; modi, Pomp. 6; gentium, 44; modi, Arch. 3. molis, Aen. i. 33; opis, 60I; gentis, iv. 483; populi, 615; lucis, vi. 76ェ.

Value: tanti, B. G. i. 20, 5; magni, iv. 21, 7. tanti, Cat. i. 22; tanti, ii. 15; parvi, Pomp. 18; parvi, Arch. 14. tanti, Aen. iii. 453.

With Adjectives: bellandi, B. G. i. 2, 4; iniuriae, 14, 2; rerum, 18, 3; rei, 21, 4; rerum, 44, 9. consili, Cat. i. 2; imperi, 12; Catilinae, ii. 6; Catilinae, 22; ferramentorum, iii. го. opum, Aen. i. 14; rerum, 178; auri, 343; umbrae, 441; sui, v. 174.

With Verbs of Remembering, etc.: incommodi, B. G. i. 13, 4; virtutis,
${ }^{\mathrm{I}}$ The abbreviations used are as follows:
B. G. $=$ Caesar de bello Gallico; Cat. $=$ Cicero in Catilinam; Pomp. $=$ Cicero de imperio Pompei; Arch. $=$ Cicero pro Archia; Aen.$=$ Vergil Aeneis.

Numbers in Caesar refer to book, chapter, and sentence; in Cicero, to oration and section; in Vergil, to book and line; they are taken from the Teubner editions, edited by Dinter, Müller, and Ribbeck respectively.

I3, 4; contumeliae, 14, 3. caedis, Cat. i. 6; incendiorum, 6; salutis, iv. 1. sui, Aen. iii. 629; famae, iv. 22I; regni, 267; rerum, 267; Elissae, 335.

With Verbs of Accusing, etc.: inertiae, Cat. i. 4; nequitiae, 4. mortis, Aen. vi. 430 .

With Miseret, Paenitet, etc.: quorum, B. G. iv. 5, 3. factorum Cat. iv. 20; consiliorum, 20. laborum, Aen. ii. 143; animi, 144; thalami, iv. 18; domus, 318 ; sororis, 435.

With Interest and Refert: rei, B. G. ii. 5, 2; salutis, 5, 2. mea, Cat. iv. 9.
With Verbs of Plenty and Want: bacchi, Aen. i. 215; ferinae, 215.
With Potior: Galliae, B. G. i. 3, 7. rerum, Cat. ii. Iя.

## Dative

Indirect Object: ei, B. G. i. 3, 5; illis, 3, 6; illis, 3, 6; Helvetiis, 4, r; Caesari, 7, 1. dis, Cat. i. 11; Iovi, 11; tibi, 16; adulescenti, 21; viro, 21. mihi, Aen. i. 8; tibi, 65; mihi, 78 ; undis, 104; regi, 137.

With Special Verbs: civitati, B. G. i. 2, 1; eis, 2, 3; Rauracis, 5, 4; Allobrogibus, 6, 3; his, 9, 2. rebus, Cat. i. 3; mihi, 6; nobis, 22; temporibus, 22; laudi, 23. metu, Aen. i. 257; generi, 526; dictis, 689; dicto, 695; equo, ii. 48.

With Compounds: omnibus, B. G. i. 2, 2; finitimis, 2, 4; sibi, 3, 3; munitioni. 10, 3; populo, 12, 6. mihi, Cat. i. II; tibi, II; vitae, 13; adulescentulo, 13; sceleri, 15. scopulo, Aen. i. 45; aris, 49; ventis, 69; mari, $84 ;$ ponto, 89.

Reference: sibi, B. G. i. 5, 3; sibi, 14, 2; Haeduis, 17, 4; sibi, 28, 1. sibi, 36, 4. rei publicae, Cat. i. 5; tibi, 16; tibi, 18; cui, 24; ei, ii. 2. gentibus, Aen. i. 17; Aeneae, 92; iactanti, 102; his, 106; quibus, 232.

Agent: sibi, B. G. i. 11, 6; Gallis, 31, 14; Sequanis, 32, 5; sibi, 33, 2; sibi, 35, 2. mihi, Cat. i. 5; tibi, 16; tibi, 16; tibi, 17; cui, 24. fatis, Aen. i. 39; mihi, 326; ulli, 440; mihi, 574; mihi, 623.

Possessor: sibi, B. G. i. 7, 3; sibi, 1 1, 5; ipsi, 34, 2; sibi, 35, 4; nulli, ii. 6, 3. lenitati, Cat. ii. 6; urbi, 26; portis, 27; viae, 27; Cethego, iii. 10. animis, Aen. i. ІІ; mihi, 71; virginibus, 336; huic, 343; quibus, 361.

Purpose: praesidio, B. G. i. 25, 6; domicilio. 30 , 3 ; concilio, 30 , 5 ; curae, 33, I; colloquio, 34, I. nuptiis, Cat. i. 14; fructui, Pomp. 16; curae, 17; praesidio, 32; saluti, Arch. 1. excidio, Aen. i. 22; rebus, 207; praedae, 2 IO; tecto, 425; auxilio, ii. 216.

With Adjectives: Germanis, B. G. i. 1, 3; plebi, 3, 5; finibus, 6, 3; Galliae, 28, 4; sibi, 39, 3. tibi, Cat. i. 15; tibi, 24; tuis, 24; mihi, 27; nobis, iii. 2. mihi, Aen. i. 67; cui, 314; caelestibus, 387 ; ambobus, 458; deo, 589.

Direction (Poetic): Latio, Aen. i. 6; caelo, 289; oris, 377; oris, 538; oris, 6 자.

## Accusative

Direct Object: unam, B. G. i. I, I; aliam, I, I; tertiam, I, I; ea, I, 3; bellum,
 deos, 6; causas, 8; quam, 15.

Adverbial: nihil, B. G.i. 40, 12; multum, iii. 9, 3; multum, iv. 1, 8; maximam partem, 1, 8; multum, 3, 3. nihil, Cat. i. 1; quid, 20; eqquid, 20; quid, 22; quid, 24. multum, Aen. i. 3; primum, 174; primum, 189; quid, 407; tantum, 745.

Cognate: praeclara, Cat. iii. 5; egregia, 5; quiddam, Arch. 26. hominem, Aen. i. 328 ; plura, 385 ; multa, 750 ; viam, iv. 468 ; iter, v. 862.

Two Accusatives, "Making": vergobretum, B. G. i. 16, 5; soldurios, iii. 22, 1; regem, iv. 21, 7. dignum, Cat. i. 19; gloriam, 29; civem, ii. 12; hostem, 12; sanctos, Arch. 18. parentem, Aen. i. 75; aras, 109; miserum, ii. 79; Chaonios, iii. 334 ; victorem, v. 245 .

Two Accusatives, "Asking": frumentum, B. G. i. 16, 1. poenas, Aen. ii. 139; veniam, iv. 50 ; fata, vi. 759 .

Two Accusatives with Compounds: Axonam, B. G. ii. 5, 4; pontem, 10, 1; Rhenum, iv. $16,6$.

Respect: oculos, Aen. i. 228; genu, 320; animum, 579; os, 589; manus, ii. 57 .

Extent, duration: annos, B. G. i. 3, 4; milia, 8, 1 ; dies, 15,5 ; annos, 18,3 ; dies, 39, 1. diem, Cat. i. 4; diem, 4; annos, ii. 7; dies, iii. 20; punctum, iv. 7. annos, Aen. i. 31; annos, 47; annos, 272; saecula, 445; noctem, 683.

Limit: septentriones, B. G. i. I, 5; partem, 1, 6; septentrionem, 1, 6; montes, 1, 7; iudicium, 4, 2. finem, Cat. i. 1; senatum, 2; mortem, 2; te, 2; nos, 2. Italiam, Aen. i. 2; litora, 3; altum, 34; patriam, 5 1; Italiam, 68.

Exclamation: tempora, Cat. i. 2; mores, 2; rem publicam, ii. 7; nos, 10; rem publicam, 10. miserabile, Aen. i. ı11; infandum, 251; mirabile, 439; mirabile, iv. 182; horrendum, 454. The examples in Vergil are in agreement with clauses; they are nevertheless exclamatory.

Subject of Infinitive: Gallos, B. G. i. 1, 5; se, 2, 5 ; biennium, 3, 2; se, 3, 6; sese, 3, 7. consilia, Cat. i. 1; coniurationem, 1 ; quem, 1 ; te, 2; pestem, 2. hoc, Aen. i. 17; progeniem, 19; populum, 21; Parcas, 22; me, 37.

With Prepositions: se, B.G.i. 1, 2; Rhenum, 1, 3; rem, 4, 3; angustias, 9, I; eum, 48, 2. me, Cat. iv. 5; noctem, 6; inferos, 8; oculos, 11; Tabernas, 17; cornua, Aen. iv. 6I; nemora, 70; altaria, 145; auras, 357; terras, 523.

## Ablative

Separation: Aquitanis, B. G. i. 1, 2; Belgis, 1, 2; cultu, 1, 3; finibus, 2, 1; agris, 4, 3. Roma, Cat. i. 7; cura, 9; urbe, 10; metu, 10; urbe, 12. animo, Aen. i. 26; Latio, 3r; incepto, 37; puppi, v. 12; vespere, 19.

Source: finibus, B. G. i. 1, 6; matre, 18, 7; tribunis, 39, 2; Germanis, ii. 4, 2; Cimbris, 29, 4. civitatibus, Pomp. 28; nationibus, 28; eo, 59; studiis, Arch. 13; doctrina, 18. saxo, Aen. і. 167; origine, 286; Maia, 297; velis, 469; dea, 582.

Agent: senatu, B. G. i. 3, 4; se, 14, 2; iis, 14, 6; maioribus, 14, 7; iis, 16, 6. me, Cat. i. 5; te, 16; quo, 19; quo, 19; me, 23. euroo, Aen. iii. 533; Iove, iv. 356; Iove, 377.

Comparison: opinione, B. G. ii. 3, 1 ; anno, iv. 1, 7; ceteris, 3, 3. luce,

Cat. i. 6; vita, 27; opinione, iv. 6; me, 11; homine, Pomp. 28. terris, Aen. i. 15; dicto, 142; quo, 544; nota, ii. 773; illis, iii. 214.

Means: Alumine, B. G. i. 1, 5; natura, 2, 3; Alumine, 2, 3; monte, 2, 3; rebus, 3, 1. scientia, Cat. i. 1; caede, 3; manu, 3; suppliciis, 3; praesidiis, 6. vi, Aen. i. 4; fatis, $3^{2}$; aere, 35; ventis, 43; turbine, 45.

With Utor, etc.: imperio, B. G. i. 2, 2; consilio, 5, 4; frumento, 16, 3; opibus, 20, 3; impedimentis, 26, 4. patientia, Cat. i. 1; laetitia, 26; his, ii. 18; opera, iii. 5; praesidio, 8. vocibus, Aen. i. 64; harena, 172; aura, 546; auro, iii. 55; dapibus, 224.

Cause: dolore, B. G. i. 2, 4; cupiditate, 9, 3; victoria, 14, 4; causa, 18, 6; causa, 39, 2. causa, Cat. i. 15; conscientia, 17; causa, 19; memoria, 22; gaudiis, 26. fato, Aen. i. 2; amore, 349; laetitia, 514; aspectu, 613; dolore, 669.

Manner: periculo, B. G. i. 10, 2; lenitate, 12, 1; lacrimis, 20, 1; sinistra, 25, 3; proelio, 26, 1. animo, Cat. i. 16; pacto, 17; ratione, 17; modo, 18; animo, 20. murmure, Aen. i. 55; conubio, 73; turbine, 83; cumulo, 105; rimis, 123.

Accompaniment: Germanis, B. G. i. 1, 4; copiis, 2, 1; civitatibus, 3, 1; se, 5, 3; legatis, 8, 3. me, Cat. i. 6; me, 8; te, 8; te, 9; te, 10; se, Aen. i. 37; gente, 47; se, 50; se, 59; navibus, 193.

Degree of Difference: multo, B. G. i. 6, 2; quo, 8, 2; eo, 14, 1; quo, 14, 5; passibus, 22, 1. diebus, Cat. iii. 3; multo, iv. 3; multo, 17; multo, Pomp. 1; multo, 10. multo, Aen. ii. 199; capite, 219; cervicibus, 219; cursu, iii. 116; tempore, 309.

Descriptive: animo, B. G.i.6,3; animo, 7,4; virtute, 28, 5; altitudine, 38, 5 ; magnitudine, 39, 1. patre, Cat. i. 4; commendatione, 28; animo, 29; aere, ii. 4; capillo, 22. corpore, Aen. i. 71; silvis, 164; scopulis, 166; mensibus, 269; ferro, 313.

Price: pretio, B. G. i. 18, 3; pretio, iv. 2, 2. Auro, Aen. i. 484; magno, ii. 104; auro, vi. 621 ; pretio, 622.

Penalty: morte, Cat. i. 28; morte, 29; morte, ii. 4; morte, iv. 7.
Respect: lingua, B. G. i. 1, r; lingua, 1, 2; institutis, 1, 2; virtute, 2, 2; factu, 3, 6. custodia, Cat. i. 19; ubertate, Pomp. 14; genere, 27; magnitudine, 27 ; virtute, 27. bello, Aen. i. 21; forma, 72; fuga, 317; honore, 335; bello, 339.

Absolute: Messala, B. G. i. 2, 1; regno, 3, 7; spe, 5, 3; oppidis, 5, 4; rebus, 6, 4. coetu, Cat. і. 1о; tumultu, 11; me, 13; Lepido, 15; hoc, 30. laeso, Aen. i. 8; Samo, 16; cuspide, 81; agmine, 82; compagibus, 122.

Place Where: finibus, B. G. i. 1, 4; itinere, 3, 1; civitate, 3, 5; Gallia, 7, 2; animo, 7, 3. re publica, Cat. i. 3; tabulis, 4; periculis, 4; Italia, 5; faucibus, 5. terris, Aen. i. 3; alto, 3; aequore, 29; ponto, 40; corde, 50.

Time: tempore, B. G. i. 3, 5; die, 6, 4; die, 10, 5; tempore, 11, 3; tempore, 11, 4. die, Cat. i. 7; discessu, 7; Kalendis, 8; nocte, 8; nocte, 9. aestate, Aen. i. 430; somno, 470; cardine, 672; quo, ii. 268; diebus, 342.

With Prepositions: multitudine, B. G. i. 2, 5; maleficio, 7, 3; maleficio, 9, 4; scelere, 14, 5; magnitudine, ii. 30, 4. te, Cat. i. 18; legionibus, ii. 5; illo, 7; motu,

26; his, 27. Argis, Aen. i. 24; meritis, 74; numine, 133; fine, 279; Ascanio, 659.

## Locative

Locative : domi, B. G. i. 18, 6; domi, 20, 2; domi, 28, 3; domi, iv. 1, 5; domi, 1, 5. domi, Cat. i. 19; domi, 32; Romae, ii. 8; Romae, 17; Romae, Pomp. 19. humi, Aen. i. 193; humi, ii. 380; Cretae, iii. 162; Libyae, iv. 36; humi, v. 78.

## Tenses

Regular Sequence: pertinerent, B. G. i. 3, I; cremaretur, 4, 1; exeant, 5, I; possent, 6, 1; dicerent, 7, 3. exspectes, Cat. i. 6; confideres, 8; cogitent, 9; venissent, 1о; possit, 13. videat, Aen. i. 182; fundat, 193; credant, 218; pateant, 298; iactemur, 332 .

Irregular Sequence-Qui: permanserit, Pomp. 54; duxerit, Arch. 25. laeserit, Aen. ii. 231; intorserit 23I; audierit, 346.

Irregular Sequence-Purpose: cernam, Aen. ii. 667; peragat, iv. 452; relinquat, 452; audires, vi. 534.

Irregular Sequence-Parenthetical Purpose: sit, Cat. iii. 1о; miretur, Arch. 2.
Irregular Sequence-Result: debuerint, B. G. i. II, 3; consuerint, 14, 7; defuerit, ii. 21, 5. sit inventum, Cat. iii. 17; diiudicatae sint, 25; videantur, Pomp. 10; audiatis, 33; dicatur, 39.

Irregular Sequence-Cum: pugnatum sit, B. G. i. 26, 2. sint, Cat. ii. 15.
Irregular Sequence-Conditions Contrary to Fact: deberet, Pomp. 58. adforet, Aen. ii. $\mathbf{5 2}^{22}$.

## Independent Clauses

Indicative: differunt, B G. i. 1, 2; suscepit, 3, 3; mittunt, 9, 2; subducit, 22, 3; promovit, 48, I. abutere, Cat. i. I; eludet, I; iactabit, I; moverunt, I; sentis, r. cano, Aen. і. 1; fuit, 12; nascetur, 286; dixit, 402; praemittit, 644.

Imperative: desilite, B. G. iv. 25, 3. muta, Cat. i. 6; crede, 6; obliviscere, 6; recognosce, 8; perge, 1о. incute, Aen. i. 69; obrue, 69; age, 70; disice, 70; perfer, 389.

Imperative-Noli and Infinitive: nolite dubitare, Pomp. 68.
Ne and Imperative: ne credite, Aen. ii. 48; ne time, 607; neu recusa, 607; ne linque, iii. 160; ne dubita, 316.

Subjunctive-Volitive i p.: optemus, Cat. ii. 16; queramur, 16; consideremus, Pomp. 36. sequamur, Aen. ii. 388; sequamur, iii. 114; petamus, 115 ; petamus, 129 ; sequamur, 188.

Subjunctive-Volitive 2 p.: velis, Aen. i. 733; maneas, ii. 160; serves, 160; imponas, iv. 497; adsis, 578.

Subjunctive-Volitive 3 p.: secedant, Cat. i. 32; congregentur, 32 ; secernantur, 32 ; sit inscriptum, 32 ; exeant, ii. 6. iactet, Aen. i. 140; regnet, 141; paeniteat, 549; liceat, 551 ; sit, ii. 71 I.

Subjunctive-Deliberative: laeter, Cat. iv. 2; commemorem, 15 ; arbitraretur, Pomp. 31; dicam, 32; querar, 32. nesciat, Aen. i. 565; temperet, ii. 8; explicet, 362 ; possit, 362 ; requirat, 390 .

Subjunctive—Concessive: sit, Cat. iv. 21; ornetur, 2I; habeatur, 21; sit, 21; anteponatur, 21. fuisset, Aen. iv. 603.

Subjunctive-Optative: eduxisset, Cat. ii. 4; haberetis, Pomp. 27. adforet, Aen. i. 576; ferant, 605; fecissent, ii. 110; mansisset, iii. 615; ostendat, vi. 188.

Subjunctive-Unfulfilled Obligation: tulissem, Aen. iv. 604; implessem, 605; extinxem, 606; dedissem, 606; vocasses, 678.

Subjunctive—Potential: mallem, Cat. ii. 5; vereamini, iv. 13; possis, 22; sumantur, Pomp. 44; disputarem, 66. optem, Aen. iv. 24; cernas, 401; praestiterit, vi. 39 ; vellent, 436 .

Subjunctive for Imperative in Indirect Discourse : reverterentur, B. G. i. 7, 5; reminisceretur, 13, 4; congrederetur, 36, 7; lacesserent, iv. II, 6; sustinerent, ir, 6.

Subjunctive for Interrogative in Indirect Discourse: iudicaret, B. G. i. 40, 2; vererentur, 40, 4; desperarent, 40, 4; vellet, 44, 8; veniret, 44, 8.

## Substantive Clauses

With Quod: adortus esset, B. G. i. 13, 5; gloriarentur, 14, 4; videbat, 53, 6; vetuerat, ii. 20, 3; excedebant, iii. 4, 3; occidit, Cat. i. 3; vacuefacta sunt, 16; reliquerunt, ı6; dedisti, 19; dixisti, 19. eripis, Aen. ii. 665.

Volitive: exirent, B. G. i. 2, 1; occuparet, 3, 4; conaretur, 3, 5; paterentur 6, 3; liceat, 7, 3. videret, Cat. i. 4; adservarem, 19; commoveare, 22; esset, ii. 26; esset, iii. 8. vellet, Aen. ii. 653; secundarent, iii. 36; levarent, 36; careat, iv. 432; liceat, v. 796.

With "Quin," etc.: possent, B. G. i. 3, 6; consciverit, 4, 4; sint erepturi, 17, 4; sumat, 31, 15; exirent, 33, 4. transmittendum sit, Pomp. 42; possit, 43; conferatis, 49; credatis, 68. adeas, Aen. iii. 456; poscas, 456.

Fear: offenderet, B. G. i. 19, 2; posset, 39, 6; circumveniretur, 42, 4; adduceretur, ii. 1, 2; circumvenirentur, 26, 2. dicat, Cat. i. 5; redundaret, 29; sit, ii. 15; videamini, iv. 13; habeam, 14. deficeret, Aen. vi. 354; nocerent, 694.

Optative: audiatis, Cat. ii. 15; eat, 16; sit, Pomp. 48. dehiscat, Aen. iv. 24; abigat, 25.

Result: vagarentur, B. G. i. 2, 4; cremaretur, 4, 1; haberet, 10, 2; arcesserentur, 31, 4; gravaretur, 35, 2. intellegas, Cat. i. 20; posses, 27; nominaretur, 27; possem, ii. 4. praeterlabare, Aen. iii. 478.

Indirect Question: agat, B. G. i. 20, 6; loquatur, 20, 6; sit, 21, 2; ducerentur, 40, 1; haberet, 40, 6. egeris, Cat. і. 1; fueris, 1; sit, 16; sentiant, 20; impendeat, 22. iactetur, Aen. i. 668; vertant, 671; consederis, .iv. 39; accenderit, v. 4; possit, 6.

## Relative Clauses

Indicative: incolunt, B. G. i. 1, 1; pertinent, $\mathbf{I}, 3$; incolunt, $\mathbf{1}$, 3; dictum est, 1, 5; dividit, 2, 3. machinaris, Cat. i. 2; convenit, 4; oportuit, 5; fuerunt, 8; oportebat, 9. vidimus, Aen. i. 584; vidi, ii. 5; fui, 6; obtulerat, 61; demisere, 85

Imperative: spargite, Aen. iii. 605 .
Purpose: dicerent, B. G. i. 7, 3; cognoscerent, 21, r; sustineret, 24, 1; postularent, 34, I; perterrerent, 49, 3. praestolarentur, Cat. i. 24; efferret, iii. 8; exciperet, 8; praeponeretis, Pomp. 63; inferatur, 65. sciret, Aen. i. 63; onerent, 706; ponant, 706; piaret, ii. 184; reddat, iv. 479.

Wish: convertant, Aen. ii. 191.
Descriptive: possent, B. G. i. 6, r; tolerarent, 28, 3; insilirent, 52, 5; recusaret, iii. 22, 3; essent, 28, 1. audeat, Cat. i. 6; expectes, 6; audiam, 8; cogitent, 9; possit, 13 . restet, Aen. ii. 142; curet. 536; liceat, iii. 46 r ; velit, iv. 488; velint, v. 486.

Result: fateatur,.Cat. i. 5; fateatur, 30; malit, ii. 16; neget, iii. 21; movear, iv. 3. frangeret, Aen. v. 59r; and perhaps possent, vi. 200.

Cause: essem, Cat. i. 19; sciam, 24; sciam, 24; sciam, 24; sentirent, iii. 5 . laeserit, Aen. ii. 231; intorserit, 231; audierit, 346; traxerit, v. 624; simularet, vi. 59 r .

Concession: respondisset, Cat. iii. 10; dixisset, 10; potuisset, Pomp. 26. esset, Aen. ii. 248; sim dedignata, iv. 536 .

Condition: lenierit, Cat. iv. 12; sit, 16.

## Adverb Clauses

Indicative: erat, B. G. i. 8, 4; erat, 10, 3; intermittit, 38 , 5 ; demonstravimus, ii. 1, 1; postulabat, 33, 2. vivis, Cat. i. 6; fecerunt, 6; coepisti, 10; metuunt, 17 ; opinor, 17. data, Aen. i. 83; ludunt, 397; cinxere, 398; iussi, iii. 236; ferent, vi. 822 .

Purpose: suppeteret, B. G. i. 3, r; essent, 5, 3; posset, 7, 5; impetrarent, 9, 2; posset, 13, 1. possis, Cat. i. 6; videatur, 14; opprimar, 18; desinam, 18; videaris, 23. exigat, Aen. i. 75; pateant, 298; posset, 413; petamus, 554; inspires, 688.

Result: condonet, B. G. i. 20, 5; videretur, 33, 5; perturbaret, 39, 1; sciret, 44, 9; posset, ii. 25, 1. coercerent, Cat. i. 3; viderentur, 15; videar, 16; revocarit, 22; putarem, 29.

Time-"Cum": conaretur, B. G. i. 4, 3; nuntiatum esset, 7, 1; exisset, 12, 5; possent, 13, 5; potuit, iii. 9, 2. confideres, Cat. i. 8; voluisti, Ir; haesitaret, ii. 13; teneretur, 13 ; videretis, iii. 4. peteret, Aen. i. 651; staret, ii. $\mathrm{I}_{3}$; diffideret, iii. 51; frangeret, 625; manderet, 627 .

Time-"Antequam," etc.: conaretur, B. G. i. 19, 3; appetissent, 43, 7; pervenerunt, 53, 1; attigisset, ii. 32, 1; sit concessum, iii. 18, 7. redeo, Cat. iv. 20. venimus, Aen. ii. 743; subigat, iii. 257; possis, 387; violo, iv. 27; decerpserit, vi. r4i.

Time-"Dum," etc.: convenirent, B. G. i. 7, 5; pervenirent, Ir, 6; potuerit, 17, 6; accessisset, iv. 11, 6; potuit, 12, 5. erit, Cat. i. 6; insidiatus es, 11; petisti, r1; delectantur, ii. 20; continebatur, iii. 16. manebant, Aen. ii. 22; stabat, 88; manebant, 455 ; sinebant, iv. 65 r ; dabat, v. 415 .

Time-"Postquam," etc.: arbitrati sunt, B. G. i. 5, 2; facti sunt, 7, 3; venit, 8, 3; constiterunt, iv. 26, 5; receperunt, 27, 1. adsedisti, Cat. i. 16; iussus est, ii.

12; erupit, iii. 3; vidi, 4; comperi, 4. flectit, Aen. i. 156; exempta, 216; introgressi, 520; remotae, 723; concessit, ii. 91.

Proviso: intersit, Cat. i. 10; sit, 22; depellatur, ii. 15; eat, 15; pariatur, iv. 1. adsit, Aen. iii. 1ı6; sequatur, iv. 109; remetior, v. 25.

Cause-"Cum": praestarent, B. G. i. 2, 2; possent, 9, 2; possent, II, 2; susceperit, 16, 6; teneret, 20, 4. sint, Cat. i. 10; sint, ii 15; sit, 24; sint, 26; sint, iv. 18 .

Cause-"Quod," etc.: absunt, B. G. i. I, 3; contendunt, $\mathbf{~}, ~ 4 ;$ continentur, 2, 3; esset, 3, 6; patebat, ii. 8, 2; effugimus, Cat. i. 11; audeo, 12; contineremur, 19; extulit, ii. 2; egressus est, 2. remordet, Aen. i. 261; vetabat, ii. 84; reliqui, iv. 315; restat, 324; iuvat, 538.

Concession-"Cum": sint, B. G. i. 14, 6; posset, 16, 6; pugnatum sit, 26, 2; haberet, 43, 5; venirent, ii. 29, 1. sis, Cat. i. 16; consumeret, ii. 9; scirem, 13; placeret, iii. 7; posset, i I. cuperem, Aen. v. 8ı.

Concession-"Quamquam,"etc.: repetissent, B. G. i. 30, 2. videbam, Cat. i. II; fuerunt, 18; premuntur, ii. 19; sunt, 27; est depulsum, iii. 29. recessit, Aen. ii. 300; tenetur, 533; cupit, iv. 394; geniti, vi. 394; invicti essent, 394.

Concession-Quamvis, etc.: acciderent, B. G. iii. 9, 6; deiecit, Aen. v. 542; fixerit, vi. 802; pacarit, 803; tremefecerit, 803.

Concession—"Etsi," etc.: videbat, B. G. i. 46, 3; existimabant, iii. 24, 2; exacta erat, 28, 1; proponebatur, iv. 17, 2; vergit, 20, 1. possit, Cat. i. 19; defendant, Pomp. I3; facta est, 15; sunt, 64; habetis, 66. est, Aen. ii. 584; habet, 584.

Conditions-Simple: consulis, Cat. i. 13; contigit, 16; potes, 20; neglegis, 28; est, 29. iit, Aen. i. 376; docuere, 392; servant, 546; vescitur, 546; pervenit, ii. 8 I .

Conditions-Simple-General: erat, B. G. i. 48, 6; deciderat, 48, 6; erat, 48, 7; coeperant, iii. 12, 2. biberunt, Cat. i. 31; conspexere, Aen. i. 152.

Conditions-More Vivid Future: iussero, Cat. i. 5; iussero, 12; exieris, 12; feceris, 23; ieris, 23. intraro, Aen. iii. 501; certa, iv. 125; attigerit, 568; extulerit, v. 65 ; acceperit, vi. 770 .

Conditions-Less Vivid Future: loquatur, Cat. i. 19; velint, ii. 20; deficiant, 25; dicam, iii. 22. dedissent, Aen. ii. 136; spondeat, v. 18.

Conditions-Contrary to Fact: metuerent, Cat. i. I7; viderem, 17 ; timerent, 17; dixissem, 21; iudicarem, 29. ferant, Aen. i. 59; fuisset, ii. 54; possent, 292; adforet, 522; resistat, 599.

Conditions in Indirect Discourse: conentur, B. G. i. 8, 3; dentur, 14, 6; satisfaciant, 14, 6; possint, 17, 3; accidat, 18, 9. decreverit, Cat. i. 20; pervenerit, 30; multassem, ii. 4; perierit, 23; flexissent, iii. 19. tulisset, Aen. ii. 94; remeassem, 95; repetant, 178 ; violasset, 189; ascendisset, 192.

Comparison: adesset, B. G. i. 32, 4. videamus, Pomp. 67. incidit, Aen. ii. 305; pressit, 380; confligunt, 417; forent, 439; morerentur, 439.

Subordinate Clause in Indirect Discourse: vicerit, B. G. i. 31, 12; referret, 35, 2; censuisset, 35, 4; superati essent, 36, 3; accessisset, 42, 1. contineremur, Cat.
${ }^{x}$ In this passage the manuscript does not show quamquam, and it is doubtful that Caesar uses it anywhere.
i. 19; incendissent, iii. 8; fecisset, 8; vellet, II; persequeretur, Pomp. 22. repetant, Aen. ii. 178; reducat, 178; violasset, 189; ascendisset, 192; speret, iv. 292.

Attraction: viderentur, B. G. ii. II, 5; continerentur, II, 5; arbitraretur, iii. 1, 3; posset, II, 5; aflictarentur, 12, I. increpuerit, Cat. i. 18; faceret, iii. 4; esset, 8; sciret, 8; licuisset, Pomp. 62. sint, Aen. iii. 262; possent, vi. 200 may be Repeated Action.

## Infinitives

Subject: potiri, B. G. i. 2, 2; perficere, 3, 6; sequi, 4, 1; facere, 7, 3; facere, 7, 3. duci, Cat. i. 2; interfectum esse, 4; factum esse, 5; trucidare, 9; proficisci, 9. condere, Aen. i. 33; capessere, 77; oppetere, 96; componere, 135; meminisse, 203.

Appositive: retentos, B. G. iii. 9, 3; coniectos, 9, 3; missas esse, iv. 6, 3; resistere, 7, 3; deprecari, 7, 3. iacere, Cat. i. 26; vigilare, 26; multare, 29; insidiari, ii. 10; valuisse, Arch. 15. explorare, Aen. i. 77; struere, 704; cognoscere, ii. 1о; sequi, 350; succurrere, 45 1.

Predicate Noun: sperare, Aen. ii. 354; considere, iv. 349.
Complementary : inferre, B. G. i. 2, 4; comparare, 3, 1; potiri, 3, 7; exsequi, 4, 3; facere, 5, I. vastare, Cat. i. 3; defendere, 6; negare, 8; interficere, 11 ; facere, 12. avertere, Aen. i. 38; submergere, 40; premere, 63; miscere, 134; petere, 158.

Object: dicere, B. G. i. 4, 1; efferre, 5, 3; ire, 6, 3; rescindi, 7, 2; ire, 9, 4. hebescere, Cat. i. 4; esse, 4; comprehendi, 5; interfici, 12; exire, 13. volvere, Aen. i. 9; celerare, 357; consistere, 541; moliri, 564; consistere, 629.

Indirect Discourse: obtinere, B. G. i. 1, 5; esse, 2, 2; habere, 2, 5; esse, 3, 2, esse, 3, 6. patere, Cat. i. 1; teneri, r; factum esse, 5; factum esse, 5; dicere, 7. coluisse, Aen. i. 16; duci, 19; misceri; 124; pati, 219 ; fore, 235.

With Adjectives: decertare, B. G. i. 44, 4; iurare, ii. 3, 3; recipere, 3, 3; facere, 3, 3, dare, 3, 3. mori, Aen. iv. 564; certare, v. 108; ciere, vi. 165; accendere, 165; credere, 173.

Exclamation: desistere, Aen. i. 37; posse, 38; potuisse, 98.
Historical: flagitare, B. G. i. 16, 1; ducere, 16, 4; dicere, 16, 4; respondere, 32, 3; permanere, 32, 3. terrere, Aen. ii. 98; parari, 132; fluere, 169; trepidare, 685 ; tollere, 699.

Purpose: diffundere, Aen. i. 319; audire, 373; populare, 527; coli, iii. 77; ferre, v. 248.

## Partictples

Present: inopinantes, B. G. i. 12, 3; flens, 20, 5; succedentibus, 25 , 6 ; venientes, 25, 7; venientes, 26, 3. labefactantem, Cat. i. 3; cupientem, 3; studentem, 3; molientem, 5; sentientem, 6. dolens, Aen. i. 9; metuens, 23; servans, 36; expirantem, 44; volutans, 50.

Perfect: divisa, B. G. i. ェ, ェ; inductus, 2, 1; adducti; 3, 1; adducti, 3, 7; usi, 5, 4. constrictam, Cat. i. 1; inclusum, 4; obsessus, 6; dimisso, 1о; concitato, 11. laeso, Aen. i. 8; posthabita, 16; repostum, 26; Alammato, 50; submersas, 69

FUTURE: futuris, Aen. i. 210; futuris, 429; futuris, 504; inspectura, ii. 47; ventura, $\mathbf{I} 25$.

Active Periphrastic: obtenturus esset, B. G. i. 3, 6; portaturi erant, 5, 3; sint erepturi, 17, 4; gesturi essent, iii. 9, 6. est iturus, Cat. ii. 15; sunt ducturi, 23; sit habiturus, 24; essem dicturus, Pomp. 17; perfecturus sit, 45. dicturus est, Aen. iii. 154 .

Gerundive: effeminandos, B. G. i. 1, 3; conficiendas, 3, 2; conficiendas, 3, 3; iurandum, 3, 7; subeunda, 5, 3. habendi, Cat.i. 1; deponendam, 4; reprimendorum, 7; interficiendorum, 15; neglegendas, 18. volvendis, Aen. i. 269; miranda, 494; tremendum, ii. 199; horrendos, ii. 222; videndam, 589.

Passive Periphrastic: concedendum, B. G. i. 7, 4; expectanduri, 11, 6; timendum, 14, 2; prospiciendum, 23, 1; essent perferendi, 32, 5. erit verendum, Cat. i. 5; est admirandum, 7; habenda est, II; est periclitanda, II; ferendum, 16. quaerendi, Aen. ii. 118; litandum, 118; temptanda, 176; ducendum, 232; oranda, 232.

## Gerund

Gerund: bellandi, B. G. i. 2, 4; proficiscendum, 3, 1 ; deliberandum, 7, 5; largiendum, 18, 4; quaerendo, 18, 10. custodiendum, Cat. i. 19; vivendum, 29; credendo, 30 ; impellendo, ii. 8; vigilandi, 22. veniendi, Aen. i. 414; fandi, 520; fando, ii. 6; visendi, 63; fando, 81.

## SUPINE

In -um: rogatum, B. G. i. 11, 2; gratulatum, 30, I; postulatum, 31, 9; questum, 37, 2; frumentatum, iv. 32, 1. salutatum, Cat. i. 10. servitum, Aen. ii. 786; venatum, iv. 117.

In -u: factu, B. G. i. 3, 6; factu, iv. 30, 2. factu, Cat. i. 29; dictu, Pomp. 42; dictu, 65. visu, Aen. i. III; dictu, 439; dictu, ii. 174; dictu, 680; visu, iii. 621.

## THE SAME EXAMPLES IN THEIR ORDER OF OCCURRENCE IN THE TEXTS

CAESAR B. G. $\mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{x}}$

| I, I | Gallia divisa quarum | 2, I | Messala regni inductus | 3, 3 | Orgetorix <br> sibi <br> suscepit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | unam |  | nobilitatis | 3, 4 | annos |
|  | incolunt |  | civitati |  | senatu |
|  | aliam |  | finibus |  | occuparet |
|  | tertiam |  | copiis | 3, 5 | tempore |
|  | lingua |  | exirent |  | civitate |
| I, 2 | lingua | 2, 2 | esse |  | plebi |
|  | institutis |  | virtute |  | conaretur |
|  |  |  | omnibus |  |  |
|  | differunt |  | praestarent | 3, 6 | factu |
|  | Aquitanis |  | imperio |  | esse |
|  | Belgis |  | potiri |  | illis |
| I, 3 | horum | 2, 3 | eis |  | perficere |
|  | cultu |  | natura |  | obtenturus esset |
|  | provinciae |  | continentur |  | possent |
|  | absunt |  | dividit |  | se |
|  | ea |  | monte |  | illis |
|  | effeminandos |  | flumine | 3, 7 | adducti |
|  | pertinent | 2, 4 | vagarentur |  | iurandum |
|  | Germanis |  | finitimis |  | regno |
|  | Rhenum |  | inferre |  | Galliae |
|  | incolunt |  | bellandi |  | sese |
|  | bellum |  | dolore |  | potiri |
| I, 4 | Germanis contendunt | 2, 5 | multitudine <br> se | 4, I | Helvetiis dicere |
|  | finibus |  | habere |  | sequi |
| I, 5 | pars |  | passuum |  | cremaretur t |
|  | Gallos | 3, I | rebus |  | cremaretur |
|  | obtinere |  | adducti | 4, 2 | causae |
|  | dictum est |  | proficiscendum |  | iudicium |
|  | flumine |  | pertinerent t | 4, 3 | rem |
|  | septentriones |  | comparare |  | exsequi |
| I, 6 | finibus |  | itinere |  | conaretur |
|  | partem |  | suppeteret |  | hominum |
|  | fluminis |  | civitatibus |  | agris |
|  | septentrionem | 3, 2 | conficiendas | 4, 4 | consciverit |
| I, 7 | montes |  | biennium | 5, I | facere |
|  | Oceani |  | esse |  | exeant t |
| 2, I | is | 3, 3 | conficiendas | 5,2 | arbitrati sunt |

${ }^{1}$ The letter $t$ after a word indicates that a question of tense is involved. For abbreviations and numerical references see footnote, p. 33 .


16, 3 frumento
16, 4 ducere dicere
16, 5 vergobretum
I6, 6 posset
iis
susceperit
I7, 3 possint
I7, 4 Haeduis sint
I7, 6 potuerit
I8, 3 rerum
annos
pretio
I8, 4 largiendum
18, 6 domi
causa
I8, 7 matre
18, 9 accidat
I8, Io quaerendo
I9, 2 offenderet
I9, 3 conaretur
20, I lacrimis
20, 2 domi
20, 3 opibus
20, 4 teneret
20, 5 flens
tanti
condonet
20, 6 agat loquatur
2I, I cognoscerent
2I, 2 sit
2I, 4 rei
22, I passibus
22, 3 subducit
23, I prospiciendum
24, I sustineret
24, 2 legionum
25, 3 sinistra
25, 6 succedentibus
praesidio
25, 7 venientes
26, I proelio
26, 2 pugnatum sit t pugnatum sit
26, 3 venientes
26,4 impedimentis
27, 4 dediticiorum
28, I sibi
28, 3 domi
tolerarent
28, 4 Galliae

| 28, 5 | virtute |
| :---: | :---: |
| 30,1 | gratulatum |
| 30, 2 | repetissent |
| 30, 3 | domicilio |
| 30, 5 | concilio |
| 3r, 4 | arcesserentur |
| 31,9 | postulatum |
| 31, 12 | vicerit |
| 31, I4 | Gallis |
| 31, 15 | sumat |
| 32, 2 | Caesar |
| 32, 3 | respondere permanere |
| 32,4 | adesset |
| 32,5 | Sequanis perferendi |
| 33, 1 | curae |
| 33, 2 | sibi |
| 33, 4 | exirent |
| 33, 5 | videretur |
| $34, \mathrm{I}$ | postularent |

I, I demonstravimus
I, 2 adduceretur
2,3 gerantur
3, I opinione
3, 3 dare
facere
recipere iuvare
4, 2 Germanis
5, 2 rei
I, 3 arbitraretur
4,3 excedebant
9, 2 potuit
9, 3 retentos
coniectos
multum

| 1,5 | domi |
| :--- | :--- |
| domi |  |
| dom | anno |
| I,8 | multum |
| 2,2 | maximam partem |
| 3,3 | pretio |
| ceteris |  |
| 5,3 | multum |
| 6,3 | Quorum |
| 6,3 | missas |

34, 2 ipsi
35, 2 referret
sibi
35,3 hominum
35, 4 sibi
censuisset
36, 3 superati essent
36, 4 sibi
36, 7 congrederetur
37, 2 questum
38,5 intermittit
altitudine
39, I dies
magnitudine
perturbaret
39, 2 tribunis
causa
39, 3 sibi
39, 6 posset
40, I ducerentur
40, 2 iudicaret
40, 4 vererentur
CAESAR B. G. ii
5, 2 salutis
5, 4 Axonam
5,6 pedum
6, 2 hominum
6,3 nulli
8, 2 patebat
io, 1 pontem
II, 5 viderentur continerentur

CAESAR B. G. iii
9, 6 acciderent gesturi essent
iI, 5 posset
I2, I modi afflictarentur
12, 2 coeperant
CAESAR B. G. iv
7,3 resistere deprecari
II, 6 lacesserent
sustinerent
accessisset
12,5 potuit
13, 4 legatis
16, 6 Rhenum
17, 2 proponebatur
20, I vergit

40, 4 desperarent
40, 6 haberet
40, 12 nihil
42, I accessisset
42, 4 circumveniretur
43, 5 haberet
43, 7 appetissent
44, 4 decertare
44, 8 vellet
veniret
44, 9 rerum sciret
46,3 videbat
48, I promovit
48, 2 eum
48, 6 erat
deciderat
48, 7 erat
49, 3 perterrerent
52,5 insilirent
53, I pervenerunt
53, 6 videbat

20, 3 vetuerat
2I, 5 defuerit t
25, I posset
26,2 circumvenirentur
29, I venirent
29, 4 Cimbris
30, 4 magnitudine
32, I attigisset
33, 2 postulabat

18, 7 sit concessum.
22, I soldurios
22, 3 recusaret
24, 2 existimabant
28, I exacta erat
essent

2I, 7 regem
magni
25, 3 desilite milites
26,5 constiterunt
27, I receperunt
30, 2 FACTU
32, I frumentatum

CICERO CAT. i

| I | abutere | 3 | manu | 6 | sentientem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Catilina |  | occidit |  | fecerunt |
|  | patientia |  | re publica |  | exspectes t |
|  | furor |  | suppliciis |  | exspectes |
|  | nos |  | coercerent |  | muta |
|  | eludet |  | Catilina |  | mihi |
|  | finem | 4 | videret |  | crede |
|  | sese |  | quid |  | obliviscere |
|  | iactabit |  | detrimenti |  | CAEDIS |
|  | nihil |  | seditionum |  | INCENDIORUM |
|  | te |  | patre |  | luce |
|  | Palati |  | diem |  | me |
|  | urbis |  | diem | 7 | dicere |
|  | populi |  | hebescere |  | die |
|  | bonorum |  | modi |  | est admirandum |
|  | habendi |  | inclusum |  | optumatium |
|  | senatus |  | tabulis |  | Roma |
|  | horum |  | interfectum esse |  | reprimendorum |
|  | moverunt |  | Catilina |  | discessu |
|  | patere |  | convenit | 8 | Kalendis |
|  | consilia |  | deponendam |  | confideres t |
|  | sentis |  | patres |  | confideres |
|  | constrictam |  | esse |  | audiam |
|  | scientia |  | periculis |  | recognosce |
|  | teneri |  | inertiae |  | me |
|  | coniurationem |  | nequitiae |  | nocte |
|  | quid |  | castra |  | negare |
|  | egeris |  | Italia |  | te |
|  | fueris |  | faucibus |  | fuerunt |
|  | consili |  | castrorum | 9 | gentium |
|  | quem |  | hostium |  | urbis |
|  | nostrum |  | rei publicae |  | cogitent t |
| 2 | tempora |  | molientem |  | cogitent |
|  | mores |  | comprehendi |  | trucidare |
|  | senatum |  | iussero |  | oportebat |
|  | consili |  | erit verendum |  | nocte |
|  | nostrum |  | mihi |  | proficisci |
|  | mortem |  | me |  | te |
|  | te |  | factum esse |  | cura |
|  | Catilina |  | dicat | 10 | coetu |
|  | duci |  | factum esse |  | dimisso |
|  | te |  | oportuit |  | salutatum |
|  | pestem |  | factum esse |  | venissent t |
|  | nos |  | fateatur |  | sint |
|  | machinaris | 6 | erit |  | perge |
| 3 | labefactantem |  | defendere |  | coepisti |
|  | caede |  | audeat |  | urbe |
|  | vastare |  | vivis |  | te |
|  | cupientem |  | praesidiis |  | metu |
|  | rebus |  | obsessus |  | intersit |
|  | studentem |  | possis | II | dis |

II habenda est
Iovi
effugimus est periclitanda mihi
insidiatus es
interficere
voluisti
amicorum
tumultu
concitato
petisti
tibi
videbam
12 imperi
facere
audeo
interfici
iussero
coniuratorum
exieris
urbe
I3 me
exire
consulis
possit t
possit
vitae
adulescentulo
I4 nuptiis
videantur
15 tibi
scias
Lepido
interficiendorum
causa
sceleri
viderentur
I6 tibi
te
sit
videar
tibi
contigit
sis
vacuefacta sunt
tibi
adsedisti
reliquerunt
animo
tibi

16 ferendum
17 pacto
metuerent
metuunt
tibi
viderem
conscientia
agnoscas
timerent
ratione
opinor
I8 modo
te
tibi
neglegendas
fuerunt
increpuerit
opprimar
desinam
ig loquatur
possit
dedisti
causa
dixisti
quo
domi
adservarem
essem
contineremur
quo
custodiendum
dignum
custodia
20 animo
potes
decreverit
intellegas
sentiant
quid
ecquid
21 adulescenti
viro
dixissem
22 quid
nobis
memoria
impendeat
tanti
sit
commoveare
temporibus

22 is
revocarit
23 feceris
ieris
laudi
me
videaris
24 quid
sciam
praestolarentur
cui
sciam
tibi
tuis
cui
sciam
26 laetitia
gaudiis
iacere
vigilare
27 posses
nominaretur
mihi
vita
28 morte
commendatione
neglegis
29 est
ego
FACTU
iudicarem
morte
multari
vivendum
redundaret
animo
gloriam
putarem
30 credendo
pervenerit
fateatur
hoc
31 homines
biberunt
32 secedant
congregentur
secernantur
domi
sit inscriptum

## CICERO CAT. ii

| 2 | extulit |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | egressus est |
|  | ei |
| 4 | morte |
|  | multassem |
|  | possem |
|  | EDUXISSET |
|  | aere |
| 5 | legionibus |
|  | mallem |
| 6 | Catilinae |
|  | lenitati |
|  | exeant |
| 7 | rem publicam |
|  | annos |
|  | illo |
| 8 | impellendo |
|  | Romae |
| 9 | consumeret |
| IO | nos |
|  | rem publicam |

IO insidiari
I2 iussus est
civem
hostem
I3 haesitaret
teneretur
scirem
15 tanti
depellatur
eat
est iturus
audiatis
sit
sint
sint t
I6 malit
OPTEMUS
eat
QUERAMUR
I7 Romae

CICERO CAT. iii

2 nobis
3 diebus erupit
4 vidi faceret videretis comperi
5 PRAECLARA EGREGIA sentirent opera
7 placeret
8 esset
efferret
sciret
praesidio
incendissent
fecisset
esset
exciperet
Io $\operatorname{sit} t$
Cethego
respondisset
dixisset

CICERO CAT. iv

I SALUTIS
pariatur
2 laeter
3 movear
5 me
6 noctem
opinione
7 morte punctum
8 inferos
9 mea

II me oculos
12 lenierit
I3 vereamini
videamini
multo
I4 habeam
ordinum
I5 commemorem
16 sit
I7 tabernas

I8 his
I9 premuntur rerum
20 delectantur velint
22 Catilinae capillo vigilandi
23 perierit sunt ducturi
24 sit habiturus
25 deficiant
26 sint
urbi
motu
esset
27 sunt
his
portis
viae

Io ferramentorum
II vellet posset
I6 continebatur
I7 sit inventum t
I9 flexissent
20 dies
21 neget
22 dicam
25 diiudicatae sint $t$
29 est depulsum

CICERO POMP.

| I | multo |
| ---: | :--- |
| 6 | modi |
| IO | multo |
|  | videantur t |
| I3 | defendant |
| I4 | ubertate |
| I5 | facta est |
| I6 | fructui |
| I7 | essem dicturus |
|  | curae |
|  | parvi |
| I9 | Romae |
| 22 | persequeretur |
| 26 | potuisset |
| 27 | genere |
|  | magnitudine |
|  | HABERETIS |

27 virtute
28 homine civitatibus nationibus
3 I arbitraretur
32 praesidio querar dicam
33 audiatis t
36 CONSIDEREMUS
39 dicatur t
42 DICTU
transmittendum sit
43 possit
44 gentium sumantur

CICERO ARCH.
3 modi
I3 studiis
14 parvi
I5 valuisse

VERGIL $A E N$. i
I4 opum
belli
I5 quam
terris
I6 posthabita
coluisse
Samo
I7 hoc
gentibus
I9 progeniem duci
21 populum bello
22 excidio
Parcas
-23 metuens
24 Argis
26 animo repostum
27 formae
29 aequore
31 Latio annos
32 fatis
33 molis

45 perfecturus sit
48 sit
49 conferatis
54 permanserit t
58 deberet t
59 eo
62 licuisset
63 praeponeretis
64 sunt
65 DICTU inferatur
66 disputarem habetis
67 VIDEAMUS
68 nolite dubitare credatis

1 arma cano Troiae
2 Italiam fato
3 litora multum terris alto
4 vi superum Iunonis
5 urbem
6 deos
Latio
7 Romae
8 mihi causas laeso
9 dolens deum volvere
II animis
12 urbs fuit

| 51 | patriam | 132 | generis | 301 | alarum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 55 | murmure | 133 | numine | 313 | ferro |
| 59 | ferant | 134 | miscere | 314 | cui |
|  | se | 135 | componere | 317 | fuga |
| 63 | premere | 137 | regi | 319 | DIFFUNDERE |
|  | sciret | 138 | pelagi | 320 | GENU |
| 64 | vocibus | 140 | Eure | 322 | sororum |
| 65 | Aeole |  | iactet | 325 | Venus |
|  | tibi | 141 | regnet | 326 | mihi |
| 67 | mihi | 142 | dicto |  | sororum |
| 68 | Italiam | 152 | conspexere | 328 | Hominem |
| 69 | incute | 156 | flectit | 329 | sanguinis |
|  | ventis | 158 | petere | 332 | iactemur t |
|  | submersas | 164 | silvis | 335 | honore |
|  | obrue | 166 | scopulis | 336 | virginibus |
| 70 | age | 167 | saxo | 339 | bello |
|  | disice | 171 | telluris | 341 | iniuria |
| 71 | mihi | 172 | harena | 343 | huic |
|  | corpore | 174 | primum |  | auri |
| 72 | forma | 178 | rerum | 349 | amore |
| 73 | conubio | 182 | videat t | 357 | celerare |
| 74 | meritis | 189 | primum | 359 | argenti |
| 75 | exigat | 193 | fundat t | 361 | quibus |
|  | parentem |  | humi | 373 | AUDIRE |
| 76 | Aeolus |  | navibus | 376 | iit |
|  | regina | 203 | meminisse | 377 | ORIS |
| 77 | explorare | 207 | rebus | 385 | PLURA |
|  | capessere | 210 | praedae | 387 | caelestibus |
| 78 | mihi |  | FUTURIS | 389 | perfer |
|  | regni | 215 | bacchi | 392 | docuere |
| 81 | cuspide |  | ferinae | 397 | ludunt |
| 82 | agmine | 216 | exempta | 398 | cinxere |
| 83 | data | 218 | credant t | 402 | dixit |
|  | turbine | 219 | pati | 407 | quid |
| 84 | mari | 228 | OCULOS | 413 | posset |
| 89 | ponto | 232 | quibus | 414 | veniendi |
| 92 | Aeneae | 235 | fore | 425 | tecto |
| 96 | oppetere | 241 | rex | 429 | FUTURIS |
|  | gentis | 251 | infandum | 430 | aestate |
| 98 | potuisse | 257 | metu | 439 | mirabile |
| 102 | iactanti |  | Cytherea |  | DICTU |
| 104 | undis | 261 | remordet | 440 | ulli |
| 105 | cumulo | 269 | volvendis | 441 | umbrae |
|  | aquae |  | mensibus | 445 | saecula |
| 106 | his | 272 | annos | 458 | ambobus |
| 109 | aras | 279 | fine | 469 | velis |
| III | miserabile | 286 | nascetur | 470 | somno |
|  | VISU |  | origine | 484 | auro |
| 112 | harenae | 289 | CaElo | 494 | miranda |
| 122 | compagibus | 297 | Maia | 504 | FUTURIS |
| 123 | rimis | 298 | pateant t | 514 | laetitia |
| 124 | misceri |  | pateant | 520 | introgressi |


| 520 | fandi | 576 | ADFORET | 668 | iactetur |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 526 | generi | 579 | ANIMUM | 669 | dolore |
| 527 | POPULARE | 582 | dea | 67 I | vertant |
| 538 | ORIS | 584 | vidimus | 672 | cardine |
| 54 I | consistere | 589 | OS | 683 | noctem |
| 544 | quo | 688 | inspires |  |  |
| 546 | servant | 601 | deo | 689 | dictis |
|  | vescitur | 605 | FERANT | 695 | dicto |
|  | aura | $6 I 3$ | aspectu | 704 | struere |
| 549 | paeniteat | $6 I 6$ | ORIS | 706 | onerent |
| 55 I | liceat | 623 | mihi |  | ponant |
| 554 | petamus | 629 | consistere | 723 | remotae |
| 564 | moliri | 644 | praemittit | 733 | VELIS |
| 565 | nesciat | 65 I | peteret | 745 | tantum |
| 574 | mihi | 659 | Ascanio | 750 | MULTA |

VERGIL 'AEN. ii

| 5 | vidi |
| ---: | :--- |
| 6 | fui |
|  | fando |
| 8 | temperet |
| IO | cognoscere |
| 22 | manebant |
| 47 | INSPECTURA |
| 48 | equo |
|  | NE CREDITE |
| 54 | fuisset |
| 57 | MANUS |
| 6 I | obtulerat |
| 63 | visendi |
| 79 | miserum |
| 81 | fando |
|  | pervenit |
| 84 | vetabat |
| 85 | demisere |
| 88 | stabat |
| 91 | concessit |
| 94 | tulisset |
| 95 | remeassem |
| 98 | terrere |
| IO4 | magno |
| IIO | FECISSENT |
| II3 | staret |
| II8 | quaerendi |
|  | litandum |
| I25 | vENTURA |
| I32 | parari |
| I36 | dedissent |
| I39 | poenas |
| I42 | restet |
|  |  |


| 36 | secundarent |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | levarent |
| 49 | auri |
| 5 I | diffideret |
| 55 | auro |
| 77 | COLI |
| II4 | SEQUAMUR |
| II5 | PETAMUS |
| II6 | cursu |
|  | adsit |
| I29 | PETAMUS |
| I54 | dicturus est |
| I6O | NE LINQUE |


| I62 | Cretae |
| :--- | :--- |
| I88 | SEQUAMUR |
| 2 I4 | illis |
| 224 | dapibus |
| 236 | iussi |
| 257 | subigat |
| 262 | sint |
| 309 | tempore |
| 316 | NE DUBITA |
| 334 | Chaonios |
| 387 | possis |
| 453 | tanti |
|  |  |
| VERGIL | AEN. iv |

292 speret
3I5 reliqui
3 I8 DOMUS
324 restat
335 ElissaE
349 considere
356 Iove
357 auras
377 Iove
394 cupit
401 cernas
432 careat
435 SORORIS
452 peragat t relinquat t
454 horrendum
468 VIAM
479 reddat
VERGIL $A E N$. v
78 humi
Io8 certare
I74 sui
245 victorem
248 FERRE
415 dabat
486 velint
VERGIL $A E N$. vi
394 geniti
invicti essent
430 mortis
436 vellent
534 adires t
591 simularet
621 auro
622 pretio

456 adeas
poscas
46I liceat
478 praeterlabare
501 intraro
533 euroo
605 spargite
6I5 MANSISSET
62 I VISU
625 frangeret
627 manderet
629 SUI

483 gentis
488 velit
497 IMPONAS
523 terras
536 sim dedignata
538 iuvat
564 mori
568 attigerit
578 ADSIS
603 fuisset
604 TULISSEM
605 IMPLESSEM
606 EXTINXEM
DEDISSEM
6I5 populi
651 sinebant
673 vOCASSES

542 deiecit
591 frangeret
624 traxerit
796 liceat
810 cuperem
862 ITER
praestiterit
141 decerpserit
165 ciere accendere
173 credere
i88 ostendat
200 possent
354 deficeret
accenderit
possit
puppi
eat
remetior
extulerit
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